

Euclidean designs and coherent configurations

Eiichi Bannai and Etsuko Bannai

May 13, 2009

Abstract

The concept of spherical t -design, which is a finite subset of the unit sphere, was introduced by Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel (1977). The concept of Euclidean t -design, which is a two step generalization of spherical design in the sense that it is a finite weighted subset of Euclidean space, by Neumaier-Seidel (1988). We first review these two concepts, as well as the concept of tight t -design, i.e., the one whose cardinality reaches the natural lower bound. We are interested in t -designs (spherical or Euclidean) which are either tight or close to tight. As is well known by Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel (1977), in the study of spherical t -designs and in particular of those which are either tight or close to tight, association schemes play important roles. The main purpose of this paper is to show that in the study of Euclidean t -designs and in particular of those which are either tight or close to tight, coherent configurations play important roles. Here, coherent configuration is a purely combinatorial concept defined by D. G. Higman, and is obtained by axiomatizing the properties of general, not necessarily transitive, permutation groups, in the same way as association scheme was obtained by axiomatizing the properties of transitive permutation groups. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that Euclidean t -designs satisfying certain conditions give the structure of coherent configurations. In particular, it is seen that a tight Euclidean t -design on two concentric spheres centered at the origin has the structure of coherent configuration. Moreover, as an application of this general theory, we discuss the current status of our research to try to classify Euclidean 4-designs (X, w) on two concentric spheres $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ centered at the origin whose weight function is constant on each $X \cap S_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and the number of the inner products between the distinct two points in $X \cap S_i$ and $X \cap S_j$ is at most 2 for $i, j = 1, 2$. We describe all the parameters of the coherent configurations, in terms of the parameters of the Euclidean designs. The classification of such Euclidean 4-designs is not yet completed, but we have found two new families of feasible parameters of such Euclidean 4-designs and the associated coherent configurations. One family corresponds to Euclidean tight 4-designs on two concentric spheres and another family is obtained from non-tight Euclidean 4-designs (and is related to the spherical tight 4-designs of one dimension more).

1 Introduction

Spherical t -designs are defined in the paper by Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel [19]. In that paper they showed that spherical designs satisfying some conditions have structures of Q-

polynomial association scheme. Euclidean t -designs are defined in the paper by Neumaier-Seidel [28] as a generalization of spherical designs. There are very natural lower bounds for the cardinalities of Euclidean t -designs (see [27, 20, 28, 15, 7]) and tightness for the Euclidean designs defined (see [20, 28, 5, 7, 15, 10]). It is an interesting and important problem to construct and classify Euclidean tight designs. Examples of tight Euclidean t -designs are constructed (see [1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, 16]). We observed that some of the examples of tight Euclidean t -designs constructed have the structures of coherent configurations. Coherent configuration is a concept defined by Higman [22, 23] as a generalization of association schemes. In this paper we give sufficient conditions for Euclidean designs to have the structures of coherent configurations. In particular we prove that the Euclidean tight t -designs supported by two concentric spheres have the structures of coherent configurations. We give a series of feasible parameters for Euclidean 4-designs supported by 2 concentric spheres having the structures of coherent configurations and we also give a series of feasible parameters for tight Euclidean 4-designs supported by 2 concentric spheres.

First we give some notation. Let X be a finite set in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let w be a positive real valued weight function defined on X . We assume $n \geq 2$ throughout this paper and consider the weighted finite sets (X, w) in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ be the canonical inner product between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$. Let S^{n-1} be the unit sphere centered at the origin. Let $S^{n-1}(r)$ be the sphere of radius r centered at the origin, where r possibly be 0. We can decompose X into a disjoint union of nonempty subsets in the following manner, that is, $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p$ are distinct nonnegative real numbers and $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_p$, $X_i \subset S^{n-1}(r_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Let us denote $S_i = S^{n-1}(r_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq p$. Let $S = \cup_{i=1}^p S_i$. Let $\varepsilon_S = 1$ if $0 \in X$, i.e., if there exists i satisfying $r_i = 0$ and $\varepsilon_S = 0$, otherwise. We say X is supported by p concentric spheres. Let $w(X_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X_i} w(\mathbf{x})$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$. Let σ and σ_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, be the Haar measure on S^{n-1} and S_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, respectively. Let $|S^{n-1}| = \int_{S^{n-1}} d\sigma(\mathbf{x})$, $|S_i| = \int_{S_i} d\sigma_i(\mathbf{x})$, $1 \leq i \leq p$. Here, if $r_i = 0$, then we define $\frac{1}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = f(0)$ for any polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$. We assume $|S_i| = r_i^{n-1} |S^{n-1}|$ for $r_i > 0$. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the vector space of polynomials in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ over the fields $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers. Let $\text{Hom}_l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which consists of homogeneous polynomials of degree l . Let $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^n) = \oplus_{i=0}^l \text{Hom}_i(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\text{Harm}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which consists of all the harmonic polynomials. Let $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{Harm}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{Hom}_l(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The following is the definition of Euclidean t -design. See Remarks after Theorem 2.1 also.

Definition 1.1 (Euclidean t -design) (see [28]) *Let t be a natural number. A weighted finite set (X, w) in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a Euclidean t -design, if the following equation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{w(X_i)}{|S_i|} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in S_i} f(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in X} w(\mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{u})$$

is satisfied for any polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark: If $r > 0$, $X \subset S^{n-1}(r)$ and $\frac{1}{r}X \subset S^{n-1}$ is a spherical t -design, then we also call X a spherical t -design. With this definition, if $p = 1$, $X \neq \{0\}$, and $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$ in

Definition 1.1, then X is a spherical t -design.

For the cardinalities of Euclidean t -designs, natural lower bounds are proved by Möller in 1978 (see [26, 27], also [15, 7, 20, 28]) and concept of tightness are defined. Here we give only for the case where t is even. The definition of tightness for t odd is more delicate. Reader can find more detailed information in [7, 10, 27].

Theorem 1.2 ([27, 20]) *Let (X, w) be a Euclidean $2e$ -design supported by p concentric spheres S in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then*

$$|X| \geq \dim(\mathcal{P}_e(S))$$

holds, where $\mathcal{P}_e(S) = \{f|_S \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_e(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$.

Definition 1.3 ([20, 5]) (1) *Definition and notation are the same as above. If equality holds in Theorem 1.2, then (X, w) is called a tight $2e$ -design on p concentric spheres*

(2) *Moreover if $\dim(\mathcal{P}_e(S)) = \dim(\mathcal{P}_e(\mathbb{R}^n)) (= \binom{n+e}{e})$ holds, then (X, w) is called a Euclidean tight $2e$ -design.*

We give some more notation. Let (X, w) be a Euclidean t -designs supported by p concentric spheres. For any $X_\lambda, X_\mu \neq \{0\}$, let

$$A(X_\lambda, X_\mu) = A(X_\mu, X_\lambda) = \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_\lambda, \mathbf{y} \in X_\mu, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \right\}.$$

Let $s_{\lambda, \mu} = s_{\mu, \lambda} = |A(X_\lambda, X_\mu)|$ and $A(X_\lambda, X_\mu) = \{\alpha_{\lambda, \mu}^{(u)} = \alpha_{\mu, \lambda}^{(u)} \mid u = 1, \dots, s_{\lambda, \mu}\}$. Let $\alpha_{\lambda, \lambda}^{(0)} = 1$ for any $X_\lambda \neq \{0\}$.

The following are the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 1.4 *Let (X, w) be a Euclidean t -design in $\mathbb{R}^n$ supported by p concentric spheres. Assume $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv w_\nu$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in X_\nu$ ($1 \leq \nu \leq p$). Moreover we assume the following (1) or (2).*

- (1) *If $s_{\lambda, \nu} + s_{\nu, \mu} \leq t - 2(p - \varepsilon_S - 2)$ holds for any λ, ν and μ with $1 \leq \lambda, \nu, \mu \leq p$.*
- (2) *If X is antipodal and $s_{\lambda, \nu} + s_{\nu, \mu} - \delta_{\lambda, \nu} - \delta_{\nu, \mu} \leq t - 2(p - \varepsilon_S - 2)$ holds for any λ, ν and μ satisfying $1 \leq \lambda, \nu, \mu \leq p$.*

Then X has the structure of a coherent configuration.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $t \geq 2$ and (X, w) be a tight Euclidean t -design supported by 2 concentric spheres. Then X has the structure of a coherent configuration.*

Remark: If $t = 1$, then X consists of an antipodal pair in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p = 1$.

Theorem 1.6 *Let (X, w) be a Euclidean 4-design in $\mathbb{R}^n$ supported by 2 concentric spheres. Assume $0 \notin X$, w is constant on each X_λ , and $s_{\lambda, \mu} \leq 2$ ($\lambda, \mu = 1, 2$). Then X has the structure of a coherent configuration and the following holds.*

(1) $s_{1,2} = 2$.

(2) (X, w) is either a tight Euclidean 4-design or similar to one of the Euclidean 4-designs having the following parameters.

(i) $n = 2$, $X_1 = \{\pm(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}), \pm(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})\}$, $X_2 = \{(\pm r_2, 0), (0, \pm r_2)\}$,

$w(\mathbf{x}) = 1$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in X_1$ and $w(\mathbf{x}) = r_2^{-4}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X_2$, where r_2 is any positive real number $r_2 \neq 1$.

(ii) $n = (2k - 1)^2 - 4$, where k is any integer satisfying $k \geq 2$,

$|X_1| = 2(2k + 1)(k - 1)^3$, $|X_2| = 2k^3(2k - 3)$,

$A(X_1) = \{\frac{k-2}{k(2k-3)}, -\frac{1}{2k-3}\}$, $A(X_2) = \{\frac{1}{2k+1}, -\frac{k+1}{(k-1)(2k+1)}\}$, $A(X_1, X_2) = \{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\}$,

$r_1 = 1$, $w(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X_1$ and $w(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(2k+1)^2(k-1)^4}{(2k-3)^2k^4}r_2^{-4}$, where r_2 is any positive real number satisfying $r_2 \neq 1$.

The intersection numbers of the corresponding coherent configurations are given as polynomials of k (see Appendix I).

Remark:

(1) In Theorem 1.6, if $|X_1| = n + 1$, then X_1 must be a tight spherical 2-design, i.e., a regular simplex on S_1 . Also we will prove, in §4.1 (Theorem 4.1), that in this case (X, w) must be a tight Euclidean 4-design. Tight Euclidean 4-designs with this property are classified in [16].

(2) Let $r_2 = \frac{k-1}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2k+1}{2k-3}}$ in Theorem 1.6 (2)(ii), then the corresponding Euclidean 4-design (X, w) is of constant weight $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$.

Theorem 1.7 A Euclidean 4-design in $\mathbb{R}^n$ having the parameters given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii) exists if and only if a tight spherical 4-design on $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ exists.

Remark: If $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ in the parameters given above (Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii)), then $n = 5$ and $n = 21$ respectively. The existence of spherical tight 4-design on S^5 and S^{21} are known. They are also known to be unique. S^{117} , i.e. $k = 6$, is the first case in which the existence of a spherical tight 4-design is unknown ([14], see also [7]).

Theorem 1.8 (1) The following is a family of feasible parameters for tight Euclidean 4-design in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

$n = (6k - 3)^2 - 3$, with any positive integer k ,

$|X_1| = (6k^2 - 6k + 1)(36k^2 - 36k + 7)$, $|X_2| = 3(36k^2 - 36k + 7)(2k - 1)^2$,

$A(X_1) = \left\{ \frac{18k^2 - 27k + 8}{6(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k - 1)}, -\frac{18k^2 - 9k - 1}{6(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k - 1)} \right\}$,

$A(X_2) = \left\{ \frac{36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 4}{2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)}, -\frac{36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 3}{2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)} \right\}$,

$A(X_1, X_2) = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{36k^2 - 36k + 4}{(36k^2 - 36k + 6)(36k^2 - 36k + 10)}}, -\sqrt{\frac{36k^2 - 36k + 10}{(36k^2 - 36k + 6)(36k^2 - 36k + 4)}} \right\}$,

$$r_1 = 1, r_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3(18k^2-18k+5)(6k^2-6k+1)}{9k^2-9k+1}},$$

$$w(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in X_1 \text{ and } w(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{81(2k-1)^4} \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in X_2.$$

The intersection numbers of the corresponding coherent configurations are given as polynomials of k (see Appendix II).

- (2) If $2 \leq n \leq 15^2 - 3$, then tight Euclidean 4-design supported by 2 concentric spheres is similar to one of the examples given in Theorem I, Theorem II and Theorem III in [15] or to one of those having the parameters given above in this theorem.

Remark: If $k = 1$ in the parameters given above, then $n = 6$ and the existence of the Euclidean tight 4-design is known (Theorem I in [15]). The first open parameters in this case is when $k = 2$, i.e., $n = 78$, which is also mentioned in [15].

In §2, we give some basic facts on the Euclidean t -designs. In §3, we consider Euclidean t -designs having the structures of coherent configurations and prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. In §4, we consider the Euclidean 4-designs supported by 2 concentric spheres and give the proof for Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8.

2 Some basic facts on Euclidean t -designs

As for the detailed definition and the basic properties of Euclidean designs and examples of Euclidean designs please refer [28, 20, 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15, 16, 26, 27, 31], etc. Here we only give the fact we need directly to prove our main theorems. The following theorem gives a very useful condition which is equivalent to the definition of Euclidean t -designs.

Theorem 2.1 (Neumaier-Seidel (see [28]))

The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) (X, w) is a Euclidean t -design.
- (2) The following equation holds

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} w(\mathbf{x}) \|\mathbf{x}\|^{2j} \varphi_l(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

for any harmonic polynomial $\varphi_l \in \text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^n)$, integers l and j satisfying $1 \leq l \leq t$ and $0 \leq j \leq \frac{t-l}{2}$.

- (3)

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} w(\mathbf{x}) f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} w(\mathbf{x}) f(\tau(\mathbf{x}))$$

holds for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_t(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tau \in O(n)$, where $O(n)$ is the orthogonal group of degree n .

Remark: Note that the condition (3) in Theorem 2.1 says that any kind of moments of X with degree at most t is invariant under any orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This concept is closely related to the concept of rotatable designs in statistics (cf. [17]). Also note that Definition 1.1 is interpreted as cubature formulas in analysis (cf. Sobolev [29, 30] or [26, 27])

Theorem 2.1 implies the following proposition (see [5]).

Proposition 2.2 *Let (X, w) be a weighted finite set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let ρ be a similar transformation of $\mathbb{R}^n$ fixing the origin. Let μ be a positive real number. Let $X' = \rho^{-1}(X)$, and w' be a weight function on X' defined by $w'(\mathbf{x}') = \mu w(\rho(\mathbf{x}'))$ for any $\mathbf{x}' \in X'$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) (X, w) is a Euclidean t -design.
- (2) (X', w') is a Euclidean t -design.

We say that Euclidean t -designs (X, w) and (X', w') are similar if they satisfy the condition of Proposition 2.2. Theorem 2.1 also implies the following.

Proposition 2.3 *Let (X, w) be a weighted set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume $0 \notin X$. Then (X, w) is a Euclidean t -design if and only if $(X \cup \{0\}, w)$ is a Euclidean t -design with $w(0)$ any positive real number.*

Let $h_l = h_{n,l} = \dim(\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $\varphi_{l,1}, \dots, \varphi_{l,h_l}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$ defined by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{|S^{n-1}|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for } \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The following theorem is well known (see [21]).

Theorem 2.4 *Let $Q_l = Q_{n,l}$ be the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree l normalized so that satisfying $Q_l(1) = h_l$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h_l} \varphi_{l,i}(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_{l,i}(\mathbf{y}) = Q_l(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$$

holds for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S^{n-1}$.

Let (X, w) be a Euclidean t -design in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $X = \cup_{i=1}^p X_i$, and $r_i = \|\mathbf{x}\|$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p$. For any nonnegative integers l and j , we define matrices $H_{l,j}$ whose rows and columns are indexed by X and $\{\varphi_{l,1}, \varphi_{l,2}, \dots, \varphi_{l,h_l}\}$ respectively. The $(\mathbf{x}, i)$ -entry of $H_{l,j}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in X_\lambda$ is given by $H_{l,j}(\mathbf{x}, i) = \sqrt{w(\mathbf{x})} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{2j} \varphi_{l,i}(\mathbf{x})$. Then the definition of Euclidean designs implies the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5 *Notation and definition are given as above. If $l_1 + l_2 + 2j_1 + 2j_2 \leq t$, then the following holds.*

$${}^t H_{l_1, j_1} H_{l_2, j_2} = \left(\sum_{\lambda=1}^p W(X_\lambda) r_\lambda^{l_1 + l_2 + 2(j_1 + j_2)} \right) \Delta_{l_1, l_2},$$

where Δ_{l_1, l_2} is the 0 matrix of size $h_{l_1} \times h_{l_2}$ for $l_1 \neq l_2$ and Δ_{l_1, l_1} is the identity matrix of size h_{l_1} .

3 Sufficient conditions for Euclidean designs to have the structures of coherent configurations

Let (X, w) be a Euclidean t -design supported by p concentric spheres. We use notation given in §1 and §2. Let λ, μ be any integer satisfying $1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq p$ and $X_\lambda, X_\mu \neq \{0\}$. For any $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ let

$$p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in X_\nu \mid \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}}{r_\lambda r_\nu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \frac{\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\nu r_\mu} = \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)} \right\}.$$

For any $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$, the following holds.

$$p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(0)}, \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\mu,\mu}^{(0)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \delta_{u,q}. \quad (3.1)$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Let (X, w) be a Euclidean t -design supported by p concentric spheres. Assume that $0 \notin X$ and the weight function is constant on each X_ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq p$), i.e., $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv w_\nu$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in X_\nu$ ($1 \leq \nu \leq p$). Then the followings hold for any nonnegative integers l, k and j satisfying $l + k + 2j \leq t$.*

(1) For $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X_\lambda$ and $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda^2} = \alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^p \sum_{u=1}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1}^{s_{\nu,\lambda}} w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k+2j} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\lambda}^{(v)}) p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\lambda}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \delta_{l,k} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}) \sum_{\nu=1}^p |X_\nu| w_\nu r_\nu^{2l+2j} \\ & - \begin{cases} w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} \left(Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}) Q_k(1) + Q_l(1) Q_k(\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}) \right) & \text{for } q \neq 0 \\ w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} Q_l(1) Q_k(1) & \text{for } q = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

(2) For $\mathbf{x} \in X_\lambda, \mathbf{y} \in X_\mu, \lambda \neq \mu$ and $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\nu=1}^p \sum_{u=1}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k+2j} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \delta_{l,k} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) \sum_{\nu=1}^p |X_\nu| w_\nu r_\nu^{2l+2j} - w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} Q_l(1) Q_k(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) \\ & - w_\mu r_\mu^{l+k+2j} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) Q_k(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof Choose non negative integers j_1 and j_2 satisfying $j_1 + j_2 = j$. Then Proposition 2.5 implies

$$(H_{l,j_1} {}^t H_{l,j_1})(H_{k,j_2} {}^t H_{k,j_2}) = \delta_{l,k} \sum_{\nu=1}^p |X_\nu| w_\nu r_\nu^{2j_1+2j_2+2l} (H_{l,j_1} {}^t H_{l,j_2}). \quad (3.4)$$

The $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ -entry of the left hand side of (3.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((H_{l,j_1} {}^t H_{l,j_1})(H_{k,j_2} {}^t H_{k,j_2}))(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in X} (H_{l,j_1} {}^t H_{l,j_1})(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z})(H_{k,j_2} {}^t H_{k,j_2})(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) \\
& = \sqrt{w(\mathbf{x})w(\mathbf{y})} \|\mathbf{x}\|^{l+2j_1} \|\mathbf{y}\|^{k+2j_2} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in X} w(\mathbf{z}) \|\mathbf{z}\|^{l+k+2j} Q_l \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{z}\|} \right) Q_k \left(\frac{\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{z}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|} \right). \\
& = \sqrt{w_\lambda w_\mu} r_\lambda^{l+2j_1} r_\mu^{k+2j_2} \\
& \times \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^p w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k+2j} \sum_{u=1-\delta_{\nu,\lambda}}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1-\delta_{\nu,\mu}}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) \right). \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the right hand side of (3.4) gives

$$\delta_{l,k} \sum_{\nu=1}^p |X_\nu| w_\nu r_\nu^{2j_1+2j_2+2l} \sqrt{w_\lambda w_\mu} r_\lambda^{l+2j_1} r_\mu^{l+2j_2} Q_l \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|} \right). \tag{3.6}$$

Since $\sqrt{w_\lambda w_\mu} r_\lambda^{l+2j_1} r_\mu^{l+2j_2} \neq 0$ and $j = j_1 + j_2$, (3.1), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) imply (3.2) and (3.3). $\blacksquare$

Proof of Theorem 1.4 with the condition (1)

If $0 \in X$, then $\varepsilon_S = 1$ and $X \setminus \{0\}$ is a Euclidean t design on the union of $p-1$ concentric spheres $S' = S \setminus \{0\}$ and $s_{\lambda,\nu} + s_{\nu,\mu} \leq t - 2((p-1) - \varepsilon_{S'} - 2)$ holds. It is easy to see that if $X \setminus \{0\}$ has the structure of a coherent configuration, then X also has the structure of a coherent configuration. Therefore in the following we assume $0 \notin X$ ($\varepsilon_S = 0$). For each fixed l, k , both (3.2) and (3.3) consist of $\lfloor \frac{t-l-k}{2} \rfloor + 1$ linear equations of indeterminate $p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{t-l-k}{2} \rfloor$). For each l, k, j , right hand sides of the both linear equations (3.2) and (3.3) are functions of $\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$, say $F_{l,k,j}(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)})$ and independent of the choice of $\mathbf{x} \in X_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{y} \in X_\mu$ whenever $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = r_\lambda r_\mu \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$ is satisfied. Let us consider the left hand sides of (3.2) and (3.3) together. To do so we consider the following system of linear equations.

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^p \sum_{u=1}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k+2j} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = F_{l,k,j}(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) \tag{3.7}$$

Let $\Psi_{l,k,\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k} \sum_{u=1}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Then for any non negative pair (l, k) of integers, satisfying $p-1 \leq \frac{t-l-k}{2}$, we obtain system of the following p equations with indeterminates $\{\Psi_{l,k,\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mid \nu = 1, \dots, p\}$.

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^p r_\nu^{2j} \Psi_{l,k,\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = F_{l,k,j}(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1. \tag{3.8}$$

Since the coefficient matrix of the linear equations (3.8) equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ r_1^2 & \cdots & r_\nu^2 & \cdots & r_p^2 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ r_1^{2(p-1)} & \cdots & r_\nu^{2(p-1)} & \cdots & r_p^{2(p-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

which is invertible. Hence, for each non negative pair (l, k) of integers, satisfying $l + k \leq t - 2p + 2$, and ν , $1 \leq \nu \leq p$, $\Psi_{l,k,\nu}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ determined uniquely by $\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$ independent of the choice of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$. More precisely, for each non negative pair (l, k) of integers satisfying $l + k \leq t - 2(p - 1)$, and ν with $1 \leq \nu \leq p$,

$$\sum_{u=1}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{G_{l,k,\nu}(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)})}{w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k}} \quad (3.9)$$

holds, where $G_{l,k,\nu}(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)})$ depends only on l, k, ν and $\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$. Since $s_{\lambda,\nu} + s_{\nu,\mu} \leq t - 2(p - 2)$, then (3.9) holds for any l and k satisfying $0 \leq l \leq s_{\lambda,\nu} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq s_{\nu,\mu} - 1$. Then (3.9) gives a system of linear equations whose coefficient matrices are the tensor product

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ Q_1(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(1)}) & \cdots & Q_1(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) & \cdots & Q_1(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(s_{\lambda,\nu})}) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ Q_{s_{\lambda,\nu}-1}(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(1)}) & \cdots & Q_{s_{\lambda,\nu}-1}(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) & \cdots & Q_{s_{\lambda,\nu}-1}(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(s_{\lambda,\nu})}) \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ Q_1(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(1)}) & \cdots & Q_1(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(u)}) & \cdots & Q_1(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(s_{\nu,\mu})}) \\ \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ Q_{s_{\nu,\mu}-1}(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(1)}) & \cdots & Q_{s_{\nu,\mu}-1}(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(u)}) & \cdots & Q_{s_{\nu,\mu}-1}(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(s_{\nu,\mu})}) \end{bmatrix}$$

of two invertible matrices. Hence $p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ determined uniquely by $\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}$, $\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}$ and $\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$ which does not depend of the choice of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4 with the condition (1).

Next, we consider the case when X is antipodal. Let λ and μ be any integers satisfying $1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq p$ and $X_\lambda, X_\mu \neq \{0\}$. Since X is antipodal, $-1 \in A(X_\lambda, X_\lambda)$ holds. Let us denote $\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(1)} = -1$. Also $-\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)} \in A(X_\lambda, X_\mu)$ for any u satisfying $1 \leq u \leq s_{\lambda,\mu}$. If $-\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)} \in A(X_\lambda, X_\mu)$, then let $\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u^*)} = -\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)}$. For any $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$, the following holds.

$$p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(1)}, \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\mu,\mu}^{(1)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \delta_{u,q^*}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then similar arguments as before give the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2 *Let X be a Euclidean t -design. Assume X is antipodal, $0 \notin X$ and the weight function is constant on each X_ν ($1 \leq \nu \leq p$), i.e., $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv w_\nu$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in X_\nu$ ($1 \leq \nu \leq p$). Then the following hold for any nonnegative integers l, k and j satisfying $l + k + 2j \leq t$.*

(1) For $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X_\lambda$ and $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda^2} = \alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}$,

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^p w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k+2j} \sum_{u=1+\delta_{\lambda,\nu}}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1+\delta_{\nu,\lambda}}^{s_{\nu,\lambda}} p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\lambda}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\lambda}^{(v)})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \delta_{l,k} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}) \sum_{\nu=1}^p |X_\nu| w_\nu r_\nu^{2l+2j} \\
&\quad - \begin{cases} w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} ((-1)^{l+k} + 1) \left(Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}) Q_k(1) + Q_l(1) Q_k(\alpha_{\lambda,\lambda}^{(q)}) \right) & \text{for } q \neq 0, 1 \\ ((-1)^{l+k} + 1) w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} Q_l(1) Q_k(1) & \text{for } q = 0 \\ ((-1)^k + (-1)^l) w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} Q_l(1) Q_k(1) & \text{for } q = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

(2) For $\mathbf{x} \in X_\lambda$, $\mathbf{y} \in X_\mu$. Assume $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\nu=1}^p w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k+2j} \sum_{u=1+\delta_{\lambda,\nu}}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1+\delta_{\nu,\mu}}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) \\
&= \delta_{l,k} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) \sum_{\nu=1}^p |X_\nu| w_\nu r_\nu^{2l+2j} \\
&\quad - ((-1)^{l+k} + 1) \left(w_\mu r_\mu^{l+k+2j} Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) Q_k(1) + w_\lambda r_\lambda^{l+k+2j} Q_l(1) Q_k(\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}) \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Proof (3.10) and (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) imply (3.11) and (3.12). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4 with the condition (2)

As we mentioned at the beginning of the proof for Theorem 1.4 with the condition (1), it is enough if we prove the statement for the case $0 \notin X$, i.e. $\varepsilon_S = 0$. The same argument as we used in the proof of Theorem 1.4 with the condition (1) implies that for any $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$ the following holds

$$\sum_{u=1+\delta_{\lambda,\nu}}^{s_{\lambda,\nu}} \sum_{v=1+\delta_{\nu,\mu}}^{s_{\nu,\mu}} p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) Q_l(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}) Q_k(\alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}) = \frac{G_{l,k,\nu}(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(q)})}{w_\nu r_\nu^{l+k}} \tag{3.13}$$

for any positive integer ν and non negative integers l, k satisfying $1 \leq \nu \leq p$ and $0 \leq l+k \leq t-2(p-1)$, where $G_{l,k,\nu}(\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(q)})$ is independent of the choice of $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. Since $s_{\lambda,\nu} + s_{\nu,\mu} - \delta_{\lambda,\nu} - \delta_{\nu,\mu} \leq t-2(p-2)$, (3.13) holds for any l and k satisfying $0 \leq l \leq s_{\lambda,\nu} - \delta_{\lambda,\nu} - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq s_{\nu,\mu} - \delta_{\nu,\mu} - 1$. Then for each triple λ, ν, μ we obtain a system of linear equations with determinates $p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ whose coefficient matrix is nonsingular. This implies that the intersection numbers $p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{x} \in X_\lambda, \mathbf{y} \in X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4 with the condition (2). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.5

If $t = 2e$ or $t = 2e + 1$, and $0 \in X$, then e must be an even integer and $\frac{e}{2} + 1 = 2(= p)$

(Proposition 2.4.4 and 2.4.5 in [10]). Hence $t = 4$ or $t = 5$ and $X \setminus \{0\}$ is a tight spherical design having the structure of Q-polynomial association scheme. Assume $0 \notin X$. If $t = 2e$, then the arguments in the proof for Lemma 1.10 in [5] imply that w is constant on each X_λ and $s_{\lambda,\mu} \leq e$ for any $1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq 2(=p)$. On the other hand, if $t = 2e + 1$, then Proposition 2.4.6 in [10] and the arguments in the proof for Lemma 1.7 in [15] imply that X is antipodal, weight function is constant on each X_λ , $s_{\lambda,\lambda} \leq e + 1$, $s_{\lambda,\mu} \leq e$ for any $1 \leq \lambda \neq \mu \leq 2(=p)$. Hence $s_{\lambda,\nu} - \delta_{\lambda,\nu} = e$ holds for any $1 \leq \lambda, \nu \leq 2(=p)$. This implies $s_{\lambda,\nu} - \delta_{\lambda,\nu} + s_{\nu,\mu} - \delta_{\nu,\mu} \leq 2e < t - 2(p - 2) = 2e + 1$. If $t = 1$, then $X = \{\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{x}\}$ and it is on a sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p = 1$. Hence Theorem 1.4 implies Theorem 1.5. $\blacksquare$

4 Euclidean 4-designs on 2 concentric spheres and coherent configurations

In this section we consider a Euclidean 4-design (X, w) supported by 2 concentric spheres. We assume that $0 \notin X$ and the weight function w is constant on each layer X_1 and X_2 . If $s_{\lambda,\mu} \leq 2$ for any $\lambda, \mu \in \{1, 2\}$, then Theorem 1.4 implies that X has the structure of a coherent configuration.

4.1 Proof for Theorem 1.6

Proof of Theorem 1.6 (1)

Theorem 2.3 in [15] implies that both X_1 and X_2 are spherical 2-designs. If $s_{1,2} = 1$ and $A(X_1, X_2) = \{\gamma\}$, then $X_2 \subset \{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u} = r_2\gamma\}$ where $\mathbf{u}$ is any fixed point in X_1 . Thus X_2 is on the intersection of the two $(n - 1)$ -dimensional spheres, S_2 and the sphere $\{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u} = r_2\gamma\}$ centered at $\mathbf{u}$. Hence X_2 is on an $n - 2$ dimensional sphere and X_2 cannot be a spherical 2-design on $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere S_2 . Hence we must have $s_{1,2} = 2$. $\blacksquare$

Let $N_i = |X_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Proposition 2.2 (in §2 of this paper) we may assume the following:

$N_2 \geq N_1$ and $r_1 = 1$, $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1$ on X_1 and $w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv w_2$ on X_2 . Since (X, w) is a Euclidean 4-design and X_i , $i = 1, 2$ is a spherical 2-design we must have $|X| = N_1 + N_2 \geq \binom{n+2}{2}$ and $N_1 \geq n + 1$.

We first prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1 *Let (X, w) be a Euclidean 4-design on 2 concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^n$. If $N_1 = n + 1$, then (X, w) is a Euclidean tight 4-design.*

Proof Since $N_1 = n + 1$, X_1 is a tight spherical 2-design. Hence X_1 is a regular simplex, i.e. $s_{1,1} = 1$, on the unit sphere $S_1 = S^{n-1}$ (see [19, 3]). If $N_1 + N_2 = \binom{n+2}{2}$, then (X, w) is a Euclidean tight 4-design. Hence we may assume $N_2 \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1 \geq n + 2$. Hence X_2 must be a 2-distance set on a sphere, that is, $s_{2,2} = 2$ and $N_2 \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$ holds (see [19, 3]).

Let $p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p_{\alpha_{\lambda,\nu}^{(u)}, \alpha_{\nu,\mu}^{(v)}}^{\alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}}$ for $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in X_\lambda \times X_\mu$ satisfying $\frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{r_\lambda, r_\mu} = \alpha_{\lambda,\mu}^{(q)}$. Let $\alpha_i = \alpha_{1,1}^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, 1$, $\beta_i = \alpha_{2,2}^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, and $\gamma_i = \alpha_{1,2}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We note that $\alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{n}$ holds. We assume $\beta_1 > \beta_2$ and $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2$. Using the equations given in Proposition 3.1, we can determine intersection numbers of the corresponding coherent configuration. By definition, we have the following immediately.

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_0} &= p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} = p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_0} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_0} = p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_0} = 0, \\ p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} &= n, \quad p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} = n - 1, \\ p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_0} &= N_2 - p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0}, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_0} = n + 1 - p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0}, \\ p_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^{\beta_0} &= N_2 - 1 - p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} &= p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_1}, \\ p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_i} &= p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_i}, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_i} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\ p_{\alpha_i, \beta_j}^{\gamma_k} &= p_{\beta_j, \alpha_i}^{\gamma_k} = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, j = 0, 1, 2, \text{ and } k = 1, 2. \\ p_{\gamma_i, \alpha_0}^{\gamma_k} &= p_{\alpha_0, \gamma_i}^{\gamma_k} = p_{\gamma_i, \beta_0}^{\gamma_k} = p_{\beta_0, \gamma_i}^{\gamma_k} \delta_{i,k}, \quad p_{\gamma_i, \alpha_1}^{\gamma_k} = p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_i}^{\gamma_k}, \quad p_{\gamma_i, \beta_j}^{\gamma_k} = p_{\beta_j, \gamma_i}^{\gamma_k} \text{ for } i, j, k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 1$, $q = 0$, $k = j = 0$ for $l = 1$ and $l = 2$ imply

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} = \frac{N_2}{n\gamma_1^2 + 1}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{n}. \quad (4.2)$$

Therefore $\gamma_1 > 0 > \gamma_2$ holds. Next Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 2$, $q = 0$, $l = 1$, $k = j = 0$ and $\lambda = 2$, $q = 0$, $l = 1$, $k = 0$, $j = 1$ imply

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_0} = -\frac{\beta_2(N_2 - 1) + 1}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = \frac{n + 1}{n\gamma_1^2 + 1}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 2$, $q = 0$, $l = 2$, $k = 0$, $j = 1$ implies

$$n(\beta_1(N_2 - 1) + 1)\beta_2 + N_2 - n(1 - \beta_1) = 0.$$

If $(\beta_1(N_2 - 1) + 1) = 0$ holds, then we must have $N_2 - n(1 - \beta_1) = 0$. This implies $N_2 = n + 1$. This contradict our assumption $N_2 > n + 1$. Hence $(\beta_1(N_2 - 1) + 1) \neq 0$ and we obtain

$$\beta_2 = -\frac{N_2 + n\beta_1 - n}{n(1 + N_2\beta_1 - \beta_1)} \quad (4.5)$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_0} = \frac{N_2(N_2 - n - 1)}{n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 + 2n\beta_1 + N_2 - n} \quad (4.6)$$

Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 1$, $q = 1$, $(l, k, j) = (0, 0, 0)$, $(1, 0, 0)$ and $(1, 1, 0)$ imply

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{N_2(1 - \gamma_1^2)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{N_2 \gamma_1^2 (n^2 \gamma_1^2 - 1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{(n + 1)N_2 \gamma_1^2}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}. \quad (4.7)$$

Since $p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} \geq 0$, we must have $0 < \gamma_1 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 2$, $q = 1$, $(l, k, j) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)$, and $(1, 1, 1)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_1} &= \frac{N_2 \left(n(N_2-1)(N_2-2n-1)\beta_1^3 - 3\beta_1^2 n^2 - 3n\beta_1 + (N_2-n-2)(N_2-n) \right)}{(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - n\beta_1^2 + N_2 - n)^2}, \\ p_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^{\beta_1} &= \frac{nN_2\beta_1(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)^2(n\beta_1+1)}{(n(N_2-1)\beta_1^2 + 2n\beta_1 + N_2 - n)^2}, \\ p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_1} &= p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_1} = \frac{n(-\beta_1+1)(N_2+n\beta_1-n)(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)^2}{(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - n\beta_1^2 + N_2 - n)^2}, \\ p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_1} &= \frac{(n+1)(\gamma_1^2 n\beta_1+1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} = \frac{n(n+1)(n\gamma_1^2+\beta_1)\gamma_1^2}{(n\gamma_1^2+1)^2}, \\ p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} &= p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_1} = \frac{n(n+1)\gamma_1^2(1-\beta_1)}{(n\gamma_1^2+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 2$, $q = 2$, $(l, k, j) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0)$, and $(1, 1, 1)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_2} &= \frac{N_2(1-\beta_1)(N_2-n-1)(N_2+n\beta_1-n)}{(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)^2}, \\ p_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^{\beta_2} &= \frac{(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1) \left(n^2(N_2-1)(N_2-2)\beta_1^3 + 3n^2(N_2-2)\beta_1^2 - 3n(N_2-2n)\beta_1 - (N_2-2n)(N_2-n) \right)}{(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)^2}, \\ p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_2} &= p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_2} = \frac{\beta_1 N_2^2(n\beta_1+1)(N_2-n-1)}{(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)^2}, \\ p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_2} &= -\frac{(n+1)(\gamma_1^2 n\beta_1 - \gamma_1^2 n - N_2\beta_1 + N_2\gamma_1^2 + \beta_1 - 1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)^2(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)}, \\ p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} &= \frac{(n+1)(\gamma_1^2 n^2 N_2\beta_1 - \gamma_1^2 n^2\beta_1 + \gamma_1^2 n^2 + n - n\beta_1 - N_2)\gamma_1^2}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)^2(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)}, \\ p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} &= p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_2} = \frac{\gamma_1^2 N_2(n+1)(n\beta_1+1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)^2(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 (2) with $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, 2)$, $q = 1$, $(l, k, j) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1)$, and $(1, 1, 0)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{n(1-\gamma_1^2)}{\gamma_1^2 n+1}, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} = \frac{N_2(N_2-n-1)(\gamma_1^2 n\beta_1+1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \\ p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= -\frac{n(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)(\gamma_1^2 n\beta_1 - \gamma_1^2 n - N_2\beta_1 + N_2\gamma_1^2 + \beta_1 - 1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \\ p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)(n\beta_1+1)N_2\gamma_1^2 n}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \\ p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{n(n+1)\gamma_1^2}{n\gamma_1^2+1}, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} = \frac{\gamma_1^2 nN_2(1-\beta_1)(N_2-n-1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1 (2) with $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, 2)$, $q = 2$, $(l, k, j) = (0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 1)$, and $(1, 1, 0)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{n+1}{\gamma_1^2 n+1} (= p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0}), \\ p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{N_2(1-\beta_1)(N_2-n-1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \\ p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)(\gamma_1^2 n^2 N_2\beta_1 - \gamma_1^2 n^2\beta_1 + \gamma_1^2 n^2 + n - n\beta_1 - N_2)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \\ p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(n\gamma_1-1)(n\gamma_1+1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)}, \\ p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{N_2(N_2-n-1)(\gamma_1^2 n+\beta_1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}, \\ p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(n\beta_1+1)N_2(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n+1)(2n\beta_1 + n\beta_1^2 N_2 - \beta_1^2 n + N_2 - n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtained the intersection numbers interms of n , N_2 , β_1 and γ_1 . From the remaining equations given in Proposition 3.1 we obtained the following seven equalities between n , N_1 , β_1 , γ_1 , w and r . More precisely, Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 1$, $q = 0$, $(l, k, j) = (1, 2, 0)$ and $(3, 1, 0)$ imply the following (4.8) and (4.9) respectively. Proposition

3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 2$, $q = 0$, $(l, k, j) = (2, 1, 0)$ implies the following (4.10).

$$N_2(n\gamma_1^2 - 1)r^3w_2 + (n^2 - 1)\gamma_1 = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$N_2\left(n^2(n+2)\gamma_1^4 - 2n(2n+1)\gamma_1^2 + n+2\right)r_2^4w_2 \\ + (n-1)(n-2)(n+1)^2\gamma_1^2 = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$N_2\left(n(N_2 - n - 1)\beta_1^2 + n(n-1)\beta_1 + 2n - N_2\right)r_2^3w_2\gamma_1 \\ + (n+1)(\gamma_1^2n - 1)(1 + N_2\beta_1 - \beta_1) = 0, \quad (4.10)$$

Since $n \geq 2$ and $\gamma_1 > 0$, (4.8) implies $\gamma_1 \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Then (4.8), (4.10) and (4.5) imply

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{2\gamma_1^2n(n-1)(N_2 - n - 1)} \times \\ \left[n^2(N_2 - 1)\gamma_1^4 - n(2N_2 + n^2 - 2n - 1)\gamma_1^2 + N_2 - 1 \right. \\ + \left\{ n^4(N_2 - 1)^2\gamma_1^8 - 2n^3\left(2N_2^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 1)N_2 + n^2 + 2n - 1\right)\gamma_1^6 \right. \\ + n\left(2(2n^2 - n + 2)N_2^2 - (8n^3 - 4n^2 + 4n + 4)N_2 + n^5 + 4n^4 + 2n^3 - 4n^2 + 3n\right)\gamma_1^4 \\ \left. - 2n\left(2N_2^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 1)N_2 + n^2 + 2n - 1\right)\gamma_1^2 + (N_2 - 1)^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big], \quad (4.11)$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2\gamma_1^2n(n-1)(N_2 - n - 1)} \times \\ \left[n^2(N_2 - 1)\gamma_1^4 - n(2N_2 + n^2 - 2n - 1)\gamma_1^2 + N_2 - 1 \right. \\ - \left\{ n^4(N_2 - 1)^2\gamma_1^8 - 2n^3\left(2N_2^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 1)N_2 + n^2 + 2n - 1\right)\gamma_1^6 \right. \\ + n\left(2(2n^2 - n + 2)N_2^2 - (8n^3 - 4n^2 + 4n + 4)N_2 + n^5 + 4n^4 + 2n^3 - 4n^2 + 3n\right)\gamma_1^4 \\ \left. - 2n\left(2N_2^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 1)N_2 + n^2 + 2n - 1\right)\gamma_1^2 + (N_2 - 1)^2\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big]. \quad (4.12)$$

Since X_2 is a strongly regular graph, ratio of the squares of usual Euclidean distances between the points in X_2 is given by $\frac{k-1}{k}$ and $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right) = 2k - 1$, where k is an integer satisfying $k \geq 2$ (see [4, 5, 25]). We can express $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2$ as follows.

$$\left(\frac{2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}\right)^2 = \left(n^2(N_2 - 1)\gamma_1^4 - n(2nN_2 - n^2 - 2n + 1)\gamma_1^2 + N_2 - 1\right)^2 \times \\ \left\{ n^4(N_2 - 1)^2\gamma_1^8 - 2n^3(2N_2^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 1)N_2 + n^2 + 2n - 1)\gamma_1^6 \right. \\ + n\left((4n^2 - 2n + 4)N_2^2 - 4(2n + 1)(n^2 - n + 1)N_2 + n(n^4 + 4n^3 + 2n^2 - 4n + 3)\right)\gamma_1^4 \\ \left. - 2n\left(2N_2^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 1)N_2 + n^2 + 2n - 1\right)\gamma_1^2 + (N_2 - 1)^2\right\}^{-1} \quad (4.13)$$

Let $m = p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0}$. Then (4.4) implies $\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{n+1-m}{mn}}$. Since $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $s_{1,2} = 2$, we must have $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then (4.8) and (4.9) imply

$$r_2 = \frac{(n-2)(2m-n-1)\sqrt{m}\sqrt{n+1-m}}{(-n^2-3n+6nm-6m^2-2+6m)\sqrt{n}}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$w_2 = \frac{(-n^2-3n+6nm-6m^2-2+6m)^3(n+1)n(n-1)}{(n-2)^3 N_2 m (n+1-m)(n+1-2m)^4}, \quad (4.15)$$

Since $w_2, r_2 > 0$, we must have

$$-n^2-3n+6nm-6m^2-2+6m > 0, \quad 2m-n-1 > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{n+1}{2} < m < \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3(n^2-1)}}{6}$$

holds. Then (4.11), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) imply

$$\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2} \right)^2 = \frac{P_1(n, N_2, m)}{P_2(n, N_2, m)}, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$P_1(n, N_2, m) = n \left((2N_2 - n - 1)m^2 + (n+1)(n+1-2N_2)m + (N_2-1)(n+1) \right)^2, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(n, N_2, m) = & \left(4N_2^2 - 4(n+1)N_2 + n(n+1)^2 \right) (m - 2(n+1))m^3 \\ & + \left(4(n^2 + 4n + 1)N_2^2 - (2n^3 + 20n^2 + 22n + 4)N_2 + n(n^2 + 2n + 3)(n+1)^2 \right) m^2 \\ & - 2n(n+1) \left(4N_2^2 + (n+1)(n-5)N_2 + (n+1)^2 \right) m + n(n+1)^2(N_2-1)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Let $F(n, x, y) = \frac{P_1(n, x, y)}{P_2(n, x, y)}$ and consider the behavior of $F(n, x, y)$ for $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1 \leq x \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, $\frac{n+1}{2} < y < \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3(n^2-1)}}{6}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(n, x, y)}{\partial y} = & \frac{4nx(n-1)(x-n-1)(n+1-2y)}{P_2(n, x, y)^2} \times \\ & \left((2x-n-1)y^2 + (n+1)(n+1-2x)y + (x-1)(n+1) \right) \times \\ & \left(-(n^2-n-2+2x)y^2 + (n+1)(n^2-n-2+2x)y - n(x-1)(n+1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(n, x, y)}{\partial x} = & -\frac{4ny(y-1)(n^2-1)(n+1-y)(n-y)}{P_2(n, x, y)^2} \times \\ & \left(x(n(2y-1) - 2y^2 + 2y - 1) - (n+1)(y(n-y) + y - 1) \right) \times \\ & \left((n-1)x - (n+1)(y(n-y) + y - 1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

(4.19) and (4.20) imply $\frac{\partial F(n,x,y)}{\partial y} < 0$ and $\frac{\partial F(n,x,y)}{\partial x} > 0$ for any x, y satisfying $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1 \leq x \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, $\frac{n+1}{2} < y < \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3(n^2-1)}}{6}$. We can check the followings easily

$$\begin{aligned} F(n, x, y) &< F\left(n, x, \frac{n+1}{2}\right) \leq F\left(n, \frac{n(n+3)}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2}\right) = n+3, \\ F(n, x, y) &> F\left(n, x, \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3(n^2-1)}}{6}\right) \\ &> F\left(n, \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3(n^2-1)}}{6}\right) = n+2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F(n, x, y)$ cannot be an integer for any x, y satisfying $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + 1 \leq x \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, $\frac{n+1}{2} < y < \frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3(n^2-1)}}{6}$. Hence we must have $N_2 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and (X, w) is a Euclidean tight 4-design. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. $\blacksquare$

In the following we assume $N_2 \geq N_1 \geq n+2$. Hence we must have $s_{1,1} = s_{2,2} = 2$. Let $\alpha_i = \alpha_{1,1}^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and assume $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Let definition and notation be given as above. We have the following assertions.*

(1) *The following hold.*

- (i) $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{n}$.
- (ii) $(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1 + 1 \neq 0$ and

$$\alpha_2 = -\frac{n\alpha_1 - n + N_1}{n((N_1 - 1)\alpha_1 + 1)}. \quad (4.21)$$

- (iii) $(N_2 - 1)\beta_1 + 1 \neq 0$ and

$$\beta_2 = -\frac{n\beta_1 - n + N_2}{n((N_2 - 1)\beta_1 + 1)}. \quad (4.22)$$

- (iv) $p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} = \frac{N_2}{1+n\gamma_1^2}$.
- (v) $p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = \frac{N_1}{1+n\gamma_1^2}$.

(2) *If $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, then (X, w) is similar to the Euclidean 4-design given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (i) or to the one given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii).*

(3) *If $\gamma_1 \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, then (X, w) is a Euclidean tight 4-design.*

Theorem 4.2 together with Theorem 4.1 implies Theorem 1.6 (2). In the following we give the proof for Theorem 4.2.

Proof for Theorem 4.2 (1)

- (i) The equations in Proposition 3.1 for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \in X_1$ imply $\gamma_1\gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{n}$.
- (ii) The equations in Proposition 3.1 for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \in X_1$ also imply

$$-n((N_1 - 1)\alpha_1 + 1)\alpha_2 - n\alpha_1 + n - N_1 = 0.$$

If $(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1 + 1 = 0$, then we must have $-n\alpha_1 + n - N_1 = 0$. This implies $N_1 = n + 1$ and contradicts the assumption that $N_1 \geq n + 2$. Hence we obtain (ii).

- (iii) The equations in Proposition 3.1 for $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \in X_2$, implies

$$(n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1 + n)\beta_2 + n\beta_1 + N_2 - n = 0.$$

If $n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1 + n = 0$, then we must have $n\beta_1 + N_2 - n = 0$. This implies $N_2 = n + 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have (iii).

- (iv) and (v) We obtain (iv) and (v) using the equations given in Proposition 3.1. Explicit formulas for the intersection numbers are given in terms of $n, N_1, N_2, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$. The reader can find them in Appendix III.

Using the intersection numbers expressed in terms of $n, N_1, N_2, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1$, we obtain the nine equations given below. If $N_1, N_2, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, w_2$ and r_2 satisfy all of the nine equations and if the intersection numbers satisfy integral condition, then (X, w) satisfies the conditions of Euclidean 4-design. That is, we obtain feasible parameters for a Euclidean 4-design and the corresponding coherent configuration.

Proposition 3.1 (1) with $(\lambda, q, l, k, j) = (1, 0, 3, 0, 0), (1, 0, 2, 2, 0), (2, 0, 2, 1, 0), (2, 0, 3, 1, 0), (1, 1, 2, 2, 0), (1, 2, 2, 2, 0), (2, 1, 2, 2, 0), (2, 2, 2, 2, 0)$ and Proposition 3.1 (2) with $(\lambda, \mu, q, l, k, j) = (1, 2, 1, 2, 2, 0)$ imply the following (4.23), (4.24), (4.25), (4.26), (4.27), (4.28), (4.29), (4.30) and (4.31) respectively.

$$N_2(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)(n\gamma_1^2 - 1)w_2r_2^3 + \gamma_1N_1\left(n(N_1 - n - 1)\alpha_1^2 + n(n - 1)\alpha_1 + 2n - N_1\right) = 0, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & N_2(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)^2(n^2\gamma_1^4(n + 2) - 2\gamma_1^2n(2n + 1) + n + 2)w_2r_2^4 \\ & + \gamma_1^2N_1\left\{n^2(n + 2)(N_1 - 1)(N_1 - n - 1)\alpha_1^4 \right. \\ & + n\left(2(2n + 1)N_1^2 - (n^3 + 4n^2 + 11n + 2)N_1 + 3n(n^2 + 2n + 1)\right)\alpha_1^2 \\ & \left. + 2n(n - 1)(n^2 + n - 2N_1)\alpha_1 + 3n^2(n + 1) + (n + 2)N_1^2 - 3n(n + 2)N_1\right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_1N_2\left(n(N_2 - n - 1)\beta_1^2 + n(n - 1)\beta_1 + 2n - N_2\right)w_2r_2^3 \\ & + N_1(n\gamma_1^2 - 1)\left((N_2 - 1)\beta_1 + 1\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma_1^2 N_2 \left\{ n^2 (n+2) (N_2 - 1) (N_2 - n - 1) \beta_1^4 \right. \\
& \quad - n \left(2(2n+1)N_2^2 - (2+4n^2+11n+n^3)N_2 + 3n(n+1)^2 \right) \beta_1^2 \\
& \quad + 2n(n-1)(n^2+n-2N_2)\beta_1 + (n+2)N_2^2 - 3n(n+2)N_2 + 3n^2(n+1) \Big\} w_2 r_2^4 \\
& \quad + N_1 (N_2 \beta_1 + 1 - \beta_1)^2 \left(n+2 - 2n(2n+1)\gamma_1^2 + (n+2)n^2\gamma_1^4 \right) = 0, \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -N_2 (N_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)^2 \left(n^2 \alpha_1 (n+2) \gamma_1^4 - 2n(n\alpha_1^2 + (n+2)\alpha_1 - 1) \gamma_1^2 + \alpha_1 (n+2) \right) w_2 r_2^4 \\
& + N_1 \gamma_1^2 \left(n^2 (N_1 - 1) (n+2) (2n - N_1 + 1) \alpha_1^5 \right. \\
& \quad - n^2 (2nN_1 + 2 + 2n^2 N_1 - 4n - 3n^2 - 2N_1^2) \alpha_1^4 + 2n(N_1 - 1) ((n+2)N_1 - 3n^2 - 4n) \alpha_1^3 \\
& \quad - n(-2n^2 N_1 + 2N_1^2 + n - 2nN_1 + 4n^2 + n^3) \alpha_1^2 \\
& \quad \left. + (-n^3 - 4n^2 + 4n(n+1)N_1 - (n+2)N_1^2) \alpha_1 + n^2 \right) = 0, \tag{4.27}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_2 \left\{ n \left(-n^2 (N_1 - 1) (n+2) \gamma_1^4 + 2n(-2n + N_1^2 + N_1 n - 1) \gamma_1^2 - (N_1 - 1) (n+2) \right) \alpha_1^2 \right. \\
& \quad + \left(n^2 (n+2) (-2n + N_1 n - N_1^2 + N_1) \gamma_1^4 \right. \\
& \quad - 2n(-2n + 2N_1 - 4n^2 + 3N_1 n - N_1^2 n - 2N_1^2 + N_1 n^2) \gamma_1^2 \\
& \quad \left. + (n+2) (-2n + N_1 n - N_1^2 + N_1) \right) \alpha_1 + n^2 (n+2) (n - N_1) \gamma_1^4 \\
& \quad \left. - 2n(-2N_1 + 2n^2 + n - 3N_1 n + N_1^2) \gamma_1^2 + (n+2) (n - N_1) \right\} (N_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1) w_2 r_2^4 \\
& + \left(n^3 (N_1 - 1) (n+2) (n - N_1 + 1) \alpha_1^5 \right. \\
& \quad - n^2 (n+2) (-n + 2N_1 n - N_1^2 + N_1) (n - N_1 + 1) \alpha_1^4 \\
& \quad + n^2 (2N_1^3 - 4N_1 + 6n^2 + 3n + 2N_1^2 + 3n^3 + N_1 n^3 - 11N_1 n - 2N_1^2 n^2) \alpha_1^3 \\
& \quad - n(-26N_1 n^2 + 16N_1^2 n - 4N_1^3 + 4N_1^2 - 4N_1 n + 6n^3 + n^2 + 5n^4 + 8N_1^2 n^2 - 8N_1 n^3 - 2N_1^3 n) \alpha_1^2 \\
& \quad + n(12N_1 n - 4N_1^2 - 3n^3 + 5N_1^2 n - 3N_1 n^2 - 2N_1^3 - 5n^2 + 2n^4 + 2N_1^2 n^2 - 4N_1 n^3) \alpha_1 \\
& \quad \left. - 7N_1 n^3 + 8N_1^2 n + 3n^3 + 5N_1^2 n^2 - 2N_1^3 - N_1^3 n - 10N_1 n^2 + 3n^4 \right) N_1 \gamma_1^2 = 0, \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_2 \left(n^2 \beta_1^5 (N_2 - 1) (2+n) (-2n + N_2 - 1) - n^2 (2N_2^2 - 2n^2 N_2 - 2N_2 n + 3n^2 + 4n - 2) \beta_1^4 \right. \\
& \quad - 2n(N_2 - 1) (2N_2 + N_2 n - 3n^2 - 4n) \beta_1^3 + n(-2N_2 n + 2N_2^2 - 2n^2 N_2 + n + n^3 + 4n^2) \beta_1^2 \\
& \quad \left. + (-4n^2 N_2 + 4n^2 - 4N_2 n + nN_2^2 + n^3 + 2N_2^2) \beta_1 - n^2 \right) \gamma_1^2 w_2 r_2^4 \\
& + N_1 \left(n^2 (n+2) \beta_1 \gamma_1^4 - 2n(n\beta_1^2 + (n+2)\beta_1 - 1) \gamma_1^2 + (n+2)\beta_1 \right) \left((N_2 - 1) \beta_1 + 1 \right)^2 = 0, \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

$$N_2 \gamma_1^2 \left(-n^3 (N_2 - 1) (n+2) (N_2 - n - 1) \beta_1^5 \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -n^2(n+2)(n-2N_2n+N_2^2-N_2)(N_2-n-1)\beta_1^4 \\
& +n^2(3n^3+n^3N_2-11N_2n+2N_2^2+3n-2n^2N_2^2+2N_2^3+6n^2-4N_2)\beta_1^3 \\
& +n(2(n+2)N_2^3-4(2n^2+4n+1)N_2^2+n(8n^2+26n+4)N_2-(5n^2+6n+1)n^2)\beta_1^2 \\
& -n(-2n^4+3n^2N_2+4N_2^2-2n^2N_2^2+5n^2-5nN_2^2-12N_2n+3n^3+4n^3N_2+2N_2^3)\beta_1 \\
& -N_2^3n-7n^3N_2+5n^2N_2^2-2N_2^3-10n^2N_2+3n^4+3n^3+8nN_2^2)w_2r_2^4 \\
& +N_1\left\{-n\left(n^2(N_2-1)(2+n)\gamma_1^4-2n(-1+N_2n-2n+N_2^2)\gamma_1^2\right.\right. \\
& \left.+(N_2-1)(2+n)\right)(N_2-1)\beta_1^3-\left(n^2(N_2-1)(2+n)(-N_2n+3n+N_2^2-N_2)\gamma_1^4\right. \\
& \left.-2n(-3n-6n^2-n^2N_2^2+2N_2^3+N_2^3n+6n^2N_2-3nN_2^2+5N_2n+2N_2-4N_2^2)\gamma_1^2\right. \\
& \left.+(N_2-1)(2+n)(-N_2n+3n+N_2^2-N_2)\right)\beta_1^2 \\
& -\left(n^2(2+n)(3n-2N_2n+2N_2^2-2N_2)\gamma_1^4\right. \\
& \left.+2n(-6n^2-3n+N_2^3-5N_2^2+7N_2n+4N_2+3n^2N_2-4nN_2^2)\gamma_1^2\right. \\
& \left.+(2+n)(3n-2N_2n+2N_2^2-2N_2)\right)\beta_1 \\
& \left.-n^2(2+n)(N_2-n)\gamma_1^4-2n(N_2^2-3N_2n+2n^2+n-2N_2)\gamma_1^2-(2+n)(N_2-n)\right\}=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n\gamma_1^2-1)\left\{(N_1\alpha_1-\alpha_1+1)\left(-n(n+2)(N_2-n-1)\beta_1^2+n(-n^2-n+2N_2)\beta_1\right.\right. \\
& \left.+N_2n-2n^2-2n+2N_2\right)N_2r_2^4w_2 \\
& +\left.(1+N_2\beta_1-\beta_1)\left(-n(n+2)(N_1-n-1)\alpha_1^2+n(-n^2-n+2N_1)\alpha_1\right.\right. \\
& \left.+N_1n-2n^2-2n+2N_1\right)N_1\left.\right\}=0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

Proof for Theorem 4.2 (2)

Since $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ (4.23) and (4.21) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= \frac{-n^2+n+\sqrt{n(4N_1^2-4(3n+1)N_1+n^3+6n^2+9n)}}{2n(N_1-n-1)}, \\
\alpha_2 &= \frac{-n^2+n-\sqrt{n(4N_1^2-4(3n+1)N_1+n^3+6n^2+9n)}}{2n(N_1-n-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also (4.25) and (4.22) imply

$$\beta_1 = \frac{-n^2+n+\sqrt{n(4N_2^2-4(3n+1)N_2+n^3+6n^2+9n)}}{2n(N_2-n-1)},$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{-n^2 + n - \sqrt{n(4N_2^2 - 4(3n+1)N_2 + n^3 + 6n^2 + 9n)}}{2n(N_2 - n - 1)}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} \right)^2 &= \frac{(n - 2N_1 + 3)^2 n}{(9n + 6n^2 + n^3 - 12N_1 n + 4N_1^2 - 4N_1)} \\ \left(\frac{2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1 - \beta_2} \right)^2 &= \frac{(-2N_2 + n + 3)^2 n}{9n + 6n^2 + n^3 - 4N_2 - 12nN_2 + 4N_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_1 + N_2 \geq \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2}$, $N_2 \geq N_1 \geq n + 2$, we must have $N_2 \geq \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{4}$. Let $F(n, x) = \frac{(-2x+n+3)^2 n}{9n+6n^2+n^3-4x-12nN_2+4x^2}$ and consider the behavior of $F(n, x)$.

$$\frac{dF(n, x)}{dx} = \frac{4(2x - n - 3)n(n-1)(n^2 + 4n + 3 - 4x)}{(9n + 6n^2 + n^3 - 4x - 12nx + 4x^2)^2}$$

For $x \in [\frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{4}, \frac{n(n+3)}{2}]$ $F(x)$ takes the maximal value at $x = \frac{n^2+4n+3}{4}$. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(n, \frac{n^2 + 4n + 3}{4}\right) &= \frac{n(n+3)}{n-1} = n + 4 + \frac{4}{n-1}, \\ F\left(n, \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{4}\right) &= n + 4 + \frac{4n^3 - 8n^2 - 28n + 16}{12n - 3n^2 - 2n^3 - 4 + n^4} \\ F\left(n, \frac{n(n+3)}{2}\right) &= n + 3. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{4} \leq N_2 \leq \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$, we have the following

$$\left(\frac{2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1 - \beta_2} \right)^2 = n + 3, \text{ or } n + 4 \text{ for } n \geq 6, \quad (4.32)$$

where $n + 3$ (or $n + 4$ respectively) is the square of an odd integer.

$$\left(\frac{2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1 - \beta_2} \right)^2 = 9 \text{ for } n \leq 5. \quad (4.33)$$

If $n \geq 6$ and $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = n + 3$ hold, then we must have $N_2 = \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$. Since $n + 3 = (2k-1)^2$ with an integer $k \geq 2$, we have $N_2 = (2k^2-2k-1)(2k-1)^2$ which is an odd integer. However equations in Proposition 3.1 (1) with $\lambda = 1$ and $q = 0$ implies $p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} = \frac{N_2}{2}$. Hence N_2 must be an even integer. This is a contradiction. Hence if $n \geq 6$, we only need to consider the case where $n + 4$ is the square of an odd integer and $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = n + 4$.

If $n \leq 5$, then we must have $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = 9$. This implies $n = 2$ or $n = 5$. If $n = 2$, then elementary computations imply that (X, w) is similar to the one given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (i).

If $n = 5$, then $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = 9 (= n + 4)$ holds.

In the following we may assume $n \geq 5$ and $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = n + 4 = (2k - 1)^2$. Then $F(n, N_2) = n + 4$ implies $N_2 = \frac{n^2+5n+2}{4} + \frac{(n-1)\sqrt{n+4}}{4} = 2k^3(2k - 3)$. Then we must have $N_1 \geq \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2} - N_2 = (2k + 1)(2k^3 - 6k^2 + 4k + 1)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G(k, x) &= F((2k - 1)^2 - 4, x) \\ &= \frac{(x - 2k^2 + 2k)^2(2k + 1)(2k - 3)}{(16k^6 - 48k^5 + 36k^4 + 8k^3 - 12k^2 - 12xk^2 + 12xk + 8x + x^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial G(k, x)}{\partial x} &= \\ &= \frac{8(x - 2k^2 + 2k)(2k + 1)(2k - 3)(k^2 - k - 1)(4k^4 - 8k^3 + 2k^2 + 2k - x)}{(16k^6 - 48k^5 + 36k^4 + 8k^3 - 12k^2 - 12xk^2 + 12xk + 8x + x^2)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Then for $x \in [(2k + 1)(2k^3 - 6k^2 + 4k + 1), 2k^3(2k - 3)]$ $G(k, x)$ takes the maximal value at $x = 4k^4 - 8k^3 + 2k^2 + 2k (= \frac{n^2+4n+3}{4})$, $G(k, 4k^4 - 8k^3 + 2k^2 + 2k) = n + 4 + \frac{4}{n-1}$, $G(k, 2k^3(2k - 3)) = (2k - 1)^2 (= n + 4)$ and

$$G(k, (2k + 1)(2k^3 - 6k^2 + 4k + 1)) = \frac{(4k^4 - 10k^3 + 8k + 1)^2}{4k^6 - 16k^5 + 8k^4 + 28k^3 - 12k^2 - 20k - 3}.$$

If $k \geq 4$, then $G(k, (2k + 1)(2k^3 - 6k^2 + 4k + 1)) > n + 3$. Hence we must have $G(k, N_1) = n + 4 = (2k - 1)^2$.

For $k = 2$ and 3 , case by case computations imply that we must also have $G(k, N_1) = n + 4 = (2k - 1)^2$.

Then we must have $N_1 = 2k^3(2k - 3) (= N_2)$ or $2(2k + 1)(k - 1)^3$.

If $N_1 = N_2 = 2k^3(2k - 3)$. Then $w_2 = \frac{k(2k-3)}{r_2^2(2k+1)(k-1)}$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \frac{1}{2k+1}$, $\alpha_2 = \beta_2 = -\frac{k+1}{(2k+1)(k-1)}$. Then the second of the nine equation, (4.24), implies

$$-\frac{128}{2k+1}(k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^3(2k - 3)^2k^7 = 0.$$

This is impossible. Hence we must have $N_1 = 2(2k + 1)(k - 1)^3 < N_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= \frac{(2k+1)^2(k-1)^4}{(2k-3)^2k^4}r_2^{-4}. \\ \alpha_1 &= \frac{k-2}{k(2k-3)}, \quad \alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2k-3}, \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{1}{2k+1}, \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{k+1}{(2k+1)(k-1)}, \\ \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4k^2-4k-3}}, \quad \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4k^2-4k-3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (X, w) is similar to the one having the parameter given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii). This completes the proof for Theorem 4.2 (2).

Proof for Theorem 4.2 (3)

Let $m_1 = p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0}$ and $m_2 = p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0}$. Then rquations in (iv) and (v) of Theorem 4.2 (1) imply

$$\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{N_1 - m_1}{nm_1}} = \sqrt{\frac{N_2 - m_2}{nm_2}}, \text{ and then } \frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{m_1}{m_2}. \quad (4.36)$$

Let $W = \frac{N_2}{N_1} r_2^3 w_2$. Then (4.23) and (4.25) imply the following equations.

$$(N_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)(n \gamma_1^2 - 1)W + \gamma_1(n(N_1 - n - 1)\alpha_1^2 + n(n - 1)\alpha_1 - N_1 + 2n) = 0 \quad (4.37)$$

and

$$(N_2 \beta - \beta + 1)(n \gamma_1^2 - 1)W^{-1} + \gamma_1(n(N_2 - n - 1)\beta_1^2 + n(n - 1)\beta_1 - N_2 + 2n) = 0. \quad (4.38)$$

Then we obtain

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{(N_1 - 1)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)W - n(n - 1)\gamma_1 + \sqrt{D_\alpha}}{2n(N_1 - n - 1)\gamma_1}, \quad (4.39)$$

$$\text{where } D_\alpha = (N_1 - 1)^2(1 - n \gamma_1^2)^2 W^2 - 2n(1 - n \gamma_1^2)(n + 3 + nN_1 - 3N_1)\gamma_1 W + n(4N_1^2 - 4(3n + 1)N_1 + n(n + 3)^2)\gamma_1^2$$

and

$$\beta_1 = \frac{(N_2 - 1)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)W^{-1} - n(n - 1)\gamma_1 + \sqrt{D_\beta}}{2n(N_2 - n - 1)\gamma_1}, \quad (4.40)$$

$$\text{where } D_\beta = (N_2 - 1)^2(1 - n \gamma_1^2)^2 W^{-2} - 2(1 - n \gamma_1^2)(n + 3 + nN_2 - 3N_2)\gamma_1 W^{-1} + n(4N_2^2 - 4(3n + 1)N_2 + n(n + 3)^2)\gamma_1^2$$

Then (4.31), (4.39) and (4.40) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(n(nN_1 - 3N_1 + n + 3)\gamma_1 - (N_1 - 1)^2(1 - n \gamma_1^2)W - (N_1 - 1)\sqrt{D_\alpha} \right) \times \\ & \left(n(nN_2 - 3N_2 + n + 3)\gamma_1 W - (N_2 - 1)^2(1 - n \gamma_1^2) - (N_2 - 1)\sqrt{D_\beta} \right) \times \\ & \left(2n\gamma_1 - (n + 2)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)W + r_2(2n\gamma_1 W - (n + 2)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)) \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

where D_α and D_β are given in (4.39) and (4.40) respectively. Since $N_2 \geq N_1 \geq n + 2$ and $\gamma_1 > 0$, we must have

$$2n\gamma_1 - (n + 2)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)W + r_2(2n\gamma_1 W - (n + 2)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)) = 0. \quad (4.42)$$

If $2n\gamma_1 W - (n + 2)(1 - n \gamma_1^2) = 0$, then we must have $1 - n \gamma_1^2 > 0$ and $2n\gamma_1 - (n + 2)(1 - n \gamma_1^2)W = 0$. Then we obtain

$$n^2(n + 2)^2 \gamma_1^4 - 2n(n^2 + 6n + 4)\gamma_1^2 + (n + 2)^2 = 0.$$

Since γ_1^2 must be a rational number, $n(n + 1)(n + 4)$ must be a square of a positive integer. If $n = 2$, then $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma_2 = -1$. Then we obtain $W = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$. Hence this case does not occur. For $n \geq 3$, the following proposition shows that this case does not occur.

Proposition 4.3 *For any integer $n \geq 3$, $n(n+1)(n+4)$ cannot be the square of an integer.*

Proof Kaneko [24].

Next, we assume $2n\gamma_1 W - (n+2)(1-n\gamma_1^2) \neq 0$. Then we must have

$$r_2 = \frac{(n+2)(1-n\gamma_1^2)W - 2n\gamma_1}{2n\gamma_1 W - (n+2)(1-n\gamma_1^2)} \quad (4.43)$$

Since $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $r_2 > 0$, we must have $1-n\gamma_1^2 > 0$, hence $0 < \gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

Then (4.24) implies

$$((n\gamma_1^2 - 1)W + (n-1)\gamma_1) \left(P_0 - (N_1 - 1)P_1\sqrt{D_\alpha} \right) P = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= 2(N_1 - 1)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 W^2 - \left(n^2(n+2)\gamma_1^4 - 6n\gamma_1^2 + n + 2 \right) W \\ &\quad + (n^2 + 3n - 2N_1)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1, \\ P_0 &= (N_1 - 1)^4(1 - n\gamma_1^2)^2 W^2 - 2n(N_1 - 1)^2(n + 3 + nN_1 - 3N_1)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 W \\ &\quad + n \left(2N_1^4 - 6(n+1)N_1^3 + (n^3 + 6 + 21n)N_1^2 - (6n^2 + 24n + 2)N_1 + n^3 + 6n^2 + 9n \right) \gamma_1^2 \\ P_1 &= \left((N_1 - 1)^2(n\gamma_1^2 - 1)W + n(nN_1 - 3N_1 + n + 3)\gamma_1 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

If $W = \frac{(n-1)\gamma_1}{1-n\gamma_1^2}$, then (4.39) implies $\alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{n}$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$. This is a contradiction. On the other hand we have $P_0^2 - (N_1 - 1)^2 P_1^2 D_\alpha = 4n^2 N_1^2 (N_1 - n - 1)^6 W^4 \gamma_1^4 > 0$. Hence we must have

$$\begin{aligned} &2(N_1 - 1)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 W^2 - \left((n+2)(n^2\gamma_1^4 + 1) - 6n\gamma_1^2 \right) W \\ &\quad + (n^2 + 3n - 2N_1)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

If we use (4.26) instead of (4.24), then we obtain the following.

$$((n\gamma_1^2 - 1) + (n-1)\gamma_1 W)(Q_0 - (N_2 - 1)Q_1\sqrt{D_\beta})Q = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= (n^2 + 3n - 2N_2)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 - ((n+2)(n^2\gamma_1^4 + 1) - 6n\gamma_1^2)W^{-1} \\ &\quad + 2(N_2 - 1)\gamma_1(1 - n\gamma_1^2)W^{-2}, \\ Q_0 &= n \left(2N_2^4 - 6(n+1)N_2^3 + (n^3 + 21n + 6)N_2^2 - (6n^2 + 24n + 2)N_2 + n(n+3)^2 \right) \gamma_1^2 W^2 \\ &\quad - 2n(N_2 - 1)^2(nN_2 - 3N_2 + n + 3)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 W + (N_2 - 1)^4(1 - n\gamma_1^2)^2 \\ Q_1 &= n(nN_2 - 3N_2 + n + 3)\gamma_1 W - (N_2 - 1)^2(1 - n\gamma_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

If $W = \frac{1-n\gamma_1^2}{(n-1)\gamma_1}$, then (4.40) implies $\beta_1 = -\frac{1}{n}$. Then ?? implies $\beta_2 = 1$. This is a contradiction. On the other hand we have $Q_0^2 - (N_2 - 1)^2 Q_1^2 D_\beta = 4\gamma_1^4 W^4 n^2 N_2^2 (N_2 - n - 1)^6 > 0$. Hence we must have

$$(n^2 + 3n - 2N_2)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 - ((n+2)(n^2\gamma_1^4 + 1) - 6n\gamma_1^2)W^{-1} + 2(N_2 - 1)\gamma_1(1 - n\gamma_1^2)W^{-2} = 0. \quad (4.47)$$

(4.45) and (4.47) imply

$$(n^2 + 3n - 2N_2)P - 2(N_1 - 1)QW^2 = \left((n+2)(n+1) - 2(N_1 + N_2)\right) \times \\ \left((n+2 - 6n\gamma_1^2 + n^2(n+2)\gamma_1^4)W - (n^2 + 3n - 2)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1\right) = 0. \quad (4.48)$$

If X is not tight, then $N_1 + N_2 > \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2}$ and we must have

$$(n+2 - 6n\gamma_1^2 + n^2(n+2)\gamma_1^4)W - (n^2 + 3n - 2)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)\gamma_1 = 0.$$

This implies

$$W = \frac{(n^2 + 3n - 2)\gamma_1(1 - n\gamma_1^2)}{(2 + n - 6n\gamma_1^2 + 2n^2\gamma_1^4 + n^3\gamma_1^4)}.$$

Then (4.45) implies

$$(1 - \gamma_1^2)(1 - n^2\gamma_1^2)(1 - n\gamma_1^2)(n^2\gamma_1^2 - n - 2 - 2n\gamma_1 + 2n\gamma_1^2)(n^2\gamma_1^2 - n - 2 + 2n\gamma_1 + 2n\gamma_1^2) = 0.$$

Hence $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{n}$ or $\gamma_1 = \frac{\pm n + \sqrt{n(n+1)(n+4)}}{n(n+2)}$. If $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{n}$, then $W = 1$ and (4.39) implies $\alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{n}$ and then $\alpha_2 = 1$ which is impossible. On the other hand, if $\gamma_1 = \frac{\pm n + \sqrt{n(n+1)(n+4)}}{n(n+2)}$, then, since γ_1^2 is a rational number. Then Proposition ?? implies $n = 2$. Then we obtain $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and again we can introduce a contradiction. Therefore, if $\gamma_1 \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, then (X, w) must be a Euclidean tight 4-design. This completes the proof for Theorem 4.2 (3) and Theorem 1.6.

4.2 Proof for Theorem 1.7

Assume that a Euclidean 4-design in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the parameters given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii) exists. Then $X_1 \subset S_1 = S^{n-1}$ and $X_2 \subset S_2 = S^{n-1}(r_2)$. Let $\mathbf{y}_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let

$$Y_1 = \{(a_1 \mathbf{x}, -\frac{1}{2(k-1)}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_1\},$$

$$Y_2 = \{(a_2 \mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{2k}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in X_2\},$$

where $a_1 = \frac{\sqrt{(2k-1)(2k-3)}}{2(k-1)}$ and $a_2 = \frac{\sqrt{4k^2-1}}{2kr_2}$. Let $Y = \{\mathbf{y}_0\} \cup Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Then $Y \subset S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For any $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in Y_1$

$$\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = a_1^2 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 + \frac{1}{4(k-1)^2}$$

with $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in X_1$. Hence $\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = \frac{1}{2k}$ or $-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}$ holds. If $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in Y_2$, then

$$\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = a_2^2 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 + \frac{1}{4k^2}$$

with $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in X_2$. Hence $\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = \frac{1}{2k}$ or $-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}$ holds. If $\mathbf{y}_1 \in Y_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in Y_2$

$$\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = a_1 a_2 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_2 - \frac{1}{4k(k-1)}$$

with $\mathbf{x}_1 \in X_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 \in X_2$. Hence $\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = \frac{1}{2k}$ or $-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}$ holds. Thus we obtain a 2-distance set Y on S^n whose cardinality attains the Fisher bound, $\frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2} + 1$, for the 2-distance set on the unit sphere S^n . Therefore Y is a spherical tight 4-design on S^n . Conversely, assume a spherical tight 4-design Y exists on S^n . Then there exists an integer $k \geq 2$ satisfying $n+4 = (2k-1)^2$ (see [11, 12]). It is known that if Y is a spherical 4-design then Y^τ is a spherical 4-design, where Y^τ is the image of Y under $\tau \in O(n+1)$ (orthogonal group of degree $n+1$). Hence we may assume the unit vector $\mathbf{y}_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is contained in Y . It is also known that Y is a 2-distance set and $\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = -\frac{1}{2(k-1)}$ or $\mathbf{y}_1 \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = \frac{1}{2k}$ holds for any $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in Y$ (see [19], also Appendix I). Let

$$Y_1 = \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in Y \mid \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y}_0 = -\frac{1}{2(k-1)} \right\}, \quad Y_2 = \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in Y \mid \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{y}_0 = \frac{1}{2k} \right\}.$$

It is also known that $|Y_1| = 2(2k+1)(k-1)^3$ and $|Y_2| = 2(2k-3)k^3$ holds. $(n+1)$ st coordinate of the vectors in Y_1 are $-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}$ and Y_2 are $\frac{1}{2k}$ respectively. Let

$$X_1 = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \left(\mathbf{x}, -\frac{1}{2(k-1)} \right) \in Y_1 \right\}, \quad X_2 = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{1}{2k} \right) \in Y_2 \right\}.$$

X_1 is on the sphere of radius $r_1 = \frac{\sqrt{(2k-1)(2k-3)}}{2(k-1)}$ and X_2 is on the sphere of radius $r_2 = \frac{\sqrt{(2k-1)(2k+1)}}{2k}$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(X_1, X_1) &= \left\{ \frac{k-2}{k(2k-3)}, -\frac{1}{2k-3} \right\}, \\ A(X_2, X_2) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2k+1}, -\frac{k+1}{(k-1)(2k+1)} \right\}, \\ A(X_1, X_2) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2k+1)(2k-3)}} \left(= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right), \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(2k+1)(2k-3)}} \left(= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is a spherical tight 4-design on S^n , Y has the structure of a Q-polynomial association scheme. Also it is proved that the Q-polynomial association scheme is three regular. Hence $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ has the structure of a coherent configuration with the same parameter as given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii).

5 Theorem 1.8

In this section, we consider the case when (X, w) is Euclidean tight 4-design and $N_1 \geq n + 2$. Hence $N_2 = \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2} - N_1 \geq n + 2$. Then (4.45) and (4.47) imply

$$\begin{aligned} W &= \frac{(n+2)(n^2\gamma_1^4 + 1) - 6n\gamma_1^2 + \varepsilon\sqrt{D_1}}{4(N_1 - 1)\gamma_1(1 - n\gamma_1^2)} \\ W^{-1} &= \frac{(n+2)(n^2\gamma_1^4 + 1) - 6n\gamma_1^2 - \varepsilon\sqrt{D_2}}{4(N_2 - 1)\gamma_1(1 - n\gamma_1^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &= 16N_i^2\gamma_1^2(1 - n\gamma_1^2)^2 - 8(n+2)(n+1)N_i\gamma_1^2(1 - n\gamma_1^2)^2 + (n+2)^2 \\ &\quad - 4n^2\gamma_1^2 + 2n^2(n^2 - 4n - 2)\gamma_1^4 - 4n^4\gamma_1^6 + n^4(n+2)^2\gamma_1^8. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

By (4.36) we have $\gamma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{N_1 - m_1}{nm_1}} = \sqrt{\frac{N_2 - m_2}{nm_2}}$ and $\frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{m_1}{m_2}$. Since $0 < \gamma_1 \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 1$, we also have $\frac{N_i}{2} < m_i \leq N_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $D_i \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_i}{2} < m_i &\leq \frac{N_i}{2} + \frac{N_i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K_2(n, N_i)}{K_1(n, N_i)}}, \\ \frac{N_i}{2} + \frac{N_i}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K_3(n, N_i)}{K_1(n, N_i)}} &\leq m_i < N_i \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(n, x) &= 8x(n^2 + 3n + 2 - 2x) + n^3 + 2n^2 + n, \\ K_2(n, x) &= 4x(n^2 + 3n + 2 - 2x) - n(n+1)(n+7) \\ &\quad - 4\sqrt{(N_i - 1)(3n + n^2 - 2x)(x - n - 1)(n + n^2 - 2x)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_3(n, x) &= 4x(n^2 + 3n + 2 - 2x) - n(n+1)(n+7) \\ &\quad + 4\sqrt{(x-1)(3n + n^2 - 2x)(x-1-n)(n + n^2 - 2x)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Then we can express α_1 and α_2 interms of n , N_1 and m_1 , and β_1 and β_2 interms of n , N_1 and m_1 . Then we can express the ratio $\left(\frac{2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\right)^2$ interms of n , N_1 and m_1 and $\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2$ interms of n , N_2 and m_2 . Then we have

$$\left(\frac{2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\right)^2 = F_\varepsilon(n, N_1, m_1) \quad (5.5)$$

$$\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = F_\varepsilon(n, N_2, m_2), \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$F_\varepsilon(n, x, y) = \frac{F_1(n, x, y) - 4\varepsilon F_2(n, x, y) \sqrt{n F_4(n, x, y)}}{F_3(n, x, y)} \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(n, x, y) &= 8n(n+1)^2(n+1+4x)y^4 - 16xn(n+1)^2(n+1+4x)y^3 \\ &\quad + 4x^2(8n^3 + 24xn + 4x^2 - 8n - 4x^2n - 4x + 3n^4 + 30n^2x - 3n^2 + 10n^3x)y^2 \\ &\quad - 4x^3(2n^3 - 10n - 4x^2n + 16xn - 9n^2 + 4x^2 + 2n^3x - 4x + n^4 + 14n^2x)y \\ &\quad + x^3(-1+x)(4x^2 + 4xn + 6n^3 + n^4 + 9n^2) \\ F_2(n, x, y) &= ((n+1)^2y^2 - x(n+1)^2y + x^2(-1+x)) \\ F_3(n, x, y) &= 16n(n+1)^3y^4 - 32xn(n+1)^3y^3 \\ &\quad + 8x^2n(-2 + 6x + 5n - 2xn + 10n^2 + 3n^3)y^2 \\ &\quad - 8x^3n(6x - 4 - 2xn - n + 4n^2 + n^3)y \\ &\quad + x^3(-1+x)(4x^2 - 4xn - 4n^2x + 6n^3 + n^4 + 9n^2) \\ F_4(n, x, y) &= (4n + 8n^2 + 4n^3 + 64x - 64x^2 + 32n^2x + 96xn)y^4 \\ &\quad - 8x(n + 2n^2 + n^3 + 16x - 16x^2 + 8n^2x + 24xn)y^3 \\ &\quad + 4x^2(20x - 20x^2 + 5n + 30xn + 7n^2 + 10n^2x + 2n^3)y^2 \\ &\quad - 4x^3(-4x^2 + 4x + 6xn + 4n + 2n^2x + 5n^2 + n^3)y \\ &\quad + nx^4(n+2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Since numerical experiments for small n shows that every integral conditions are satisfied only if $n+3$ is the square of an odd integer. In that case (if integral conditions are satisfied), numerical experiments for small n shows $\left(\frac{2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = n+3$. In the following we assume that $n+3$ is a square of an odd integer.

$\left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = n+3$. Then, for both $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varepsilon = -1$, we obtain

$$m_2 = \frac{N_2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{-(n-3)N_1N_2^2 + (N_2 - N_1)\sqrt{2n(n+3)N_1N_2^3}}{(n+1)^2N_1}} \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$m_1 = \frac{N_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{-(n-3)N_1^2N_2 + (N_2 - N_1)\sqrt{2n(n+3)N_1^3N_2}}{(n+1)^2N_2}} \quad (5.10)$$

(Note that $N_2 = \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2} - N_1$.) Conversely, if we assume $\left(\frac{2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\right)^2 = n+3$, then we obtain (5.10), (5.9) for both $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\varepsilon = -1$.

Since m_1 and m_2 are integers, satisfying $m_i > \frac{N_i}{2}$ we must have $N_1 < \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{6}$ (equivalently $N_2 > \frac{n(n+2)}{3}$). We note that if $N_1 = \frac{(n+2)(n+3)}{6}$, then $m_1 = \frac{N_1}{2}$ and contradicts the fact $\gamma_1 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$.

Numerical experiments suggest us that $N_1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{6}$ ($N_2 = \frac{(n+3)(n+1)}{3}$) gives good conditions. Actually, for any n satisfying $n+3 = (6k-3)^2$, with an integer $k \geq 2$, let

$$N_1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{6} = (6k^2 - 6k + 1)(36k^2 - 36k + 7)$$

and

$$m_1 = \frac{n(n+4)}{12} = (6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2 &= \frac{(n+3)(n+1)}{3} = 3(36k^2 - 36k + 7)(2k-1)^2, \\ m_2 &= \frac{(n+3)(n+4)}{6} = 3(2k-1)^2(18k^2 - 18k + 5). \\ \gamma_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{9k^2 - 9k + 1}{(18k^2 - 18k + 3)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Moreover let $\varepsilon = -1$ in equation (5.1). Then we obtain

$$W = \frac{\sqrt{(18k^2 - 18k + 5)^3(18k^2 - 18k + 3)}}{9(2k-1)^2\sqrt{(9k^2 - 9k + 1)^3}}$$

and $\left(\frac{2-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}\right)^2 = n+3 = (6k-3)^2$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} A(X_1, X_1) &= \left\{ \frac{18k^2 - 27k + 8}{6(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k-1)}, \frac{18k^2 - 9k - 1}{6(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k-1)} \right\} \\ A(X_2, X_2) &= \left\{ \frac{36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 4}{2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)}, \frac{36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 3}{2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)} \right\}. \\ r &= \frac{\sqrt{(18k^2 - 18k + 5)(18k^2 - 18k + 3)}}{\sqrt{9k^2 - 9k + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can determined all the parameters in terms of k and also we can express all the possible intersection numbers of the corresponding coherent configuration in polynomials of k . The reader can find them in Appendix II.

Exhaustive numerical experiments for the case $\varepsilon = -1$ in (5.1) for every n up to $n = 222$, shows that there is no feasible parameter other than this family. Also exhaustive numerical experiments for the case $\varepsilon = 1$ in (5.1) for every n up to $n = 222$, shows that only $n = 22 = (6-1)^2 - 3$, $N_1 = 33$, $N_2 = 243$, $m_1 = 22$, $m_2 = 162$ satisfies every requirement for Euclidean tight 4-design on 2 concentric spheres. The Euclidean tight 4-design with this parameter is constructed and unique (Theorem III in [16]). As for this parameter we can consider from a different view point explained in the next section.

6 An additional remark

We also proved the following.

Theorem 6.1 *If $\alpha_1 = 0$, then (X, w) is similar to one of the following Euclidean tight 4-designs.*

- (1) $n = 4$, $N_1 = 6$, $N_2 = 9$ and given in Theorem II in [16].
- (2) $n = 22$, $N_1 = 33$, $N_2 = 243$ and given in Theorem III in [16].

Proof Let $\alpha_1 = 0$. Then (4.21) implies $\alpha_2 = -\frac{N_1-n}{n}$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{2 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} = \frac{N_1 + n}{N_1 - n} = 1 + \frac{2n}{N_1 - n}.$$

Since $\frac{2n}{N_1-n}$ must be an even integer, $\frac{n}{N_1-n}$ is a positive integer. Hence $N_1 \leq 2n$ and n is a multiple of $N_1 - n$. Since $p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} = p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{N_1^2 \alpha_1 (n \alpha_1 + 1) (N_1 - n - 1)}{(2n \alpha_1 - n + N_1 + n(N_1 - 1) \alpha_1^2)^2} = 0$ (see Appendix III of this paper), X_1 is a union of 1-distance set containing $p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_0} + 1 = \frac{n}{N_1-n} + 1$ points and mutually perpendicular to each other. Let $\frac{n}{N_1-n} + 1 = q$. Then $X_1 = \Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_d$, $|\Delta_i| = q$ and $N_1 = dq$. Then $n = d(q - 1)$ and each Δ_i is a 1-distance set in $\mathbb{R}^{q-1}$. Since $\alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{q-1}$, Δ_i , $(1 \leq i \leq d)$ is a regular simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{q-1}$. On the other hand (4.27) and (4.24) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1^2 &= \frac{1}{n^3} \left(-n^2 + N_1(3n - N_1) \pm \sqrt{N_1(N_1 - n)(2n - N_1)(3n - N_1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{q^2 - q - 1 \pm \sqrt{q(q-2)(2q-3)}}{d(q-1)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Since γ_1^2 is a rational number $q(q-2)(2q-3)$ must be the square of an integer.

Proposition 6.2 *$q(q-2)(2q-3)$ is the square of an integer if and only if $q = 2$ and $q = 3$.*

Proof Kaneko [24].

If $q = 2$, then $d = n$ and we obtain $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. This contradicts the assumption $\gamma_1 \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. If $q = 3$, then $N_1 = 3d$, $n = 2d$, $N_2 = 2d^2 + 1$ and $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ or $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}}$. Then $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$ and (4.23) implies $r_2^3(2d^2 + 1) + 3d\sqrt{d} = 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d}}$ holds. Then (4.23) and (4.24) imply $r_2 = \sqrt{d}$, $w_2 = \frac{3}{2d^2+1}$. Then (4.25) and (3-i-c) implies

$$\beta_1 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{8d-7}}{4d}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{8d-7}}{4d}.$$

Since β_1 and β_2 are rational numbers $8d - 7 = (2k - 1)^2$ with a integer $k \geq 1$. Then

$$\frac{2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2}{\beta_1 - \beta_2} = k - \frac{k-5}{2k-1}.$$

If $k \geq 6$, then $0 < \frac{k-5}{2k-1} < 1$ and $\frac{2-\beta_1-\beta_2}{\beta_1-\beta_2}$ cannot be an integer. Hence we must have $k = 1, 2$ or 5 . Since $N_1 \geq n + 2$, we have $k = 2$, $d = 2$, $n = 4$, $N_1 = 6$, $N_2 = 9$; and $k = 5$, $d = 11$, $n = 22$, $N_1 = 33$, $N_2 = 243$. Theorem II and Theorem III in [16] implies Theorem 6.1.

7 Appendix I

Feasible parameters of the Euclidean 4-design (X, w) given in Theorem 1.6 (2) (ii) and the intersection numbers of the coresponding coherent configuration.

$$\begin{aligned}
 n &= (2k-1)^2 - 4, \\
 |X_1| &= 2(2k+1)(k-1)^3, \quad |X_2| = 2k^3(2k-3), \\
 A(X_1, X_1) &= \left\{ \frac{k-2}{k(2k-3)}, -\frac{1}{2k-3} \right\}, \quad A(X_2, X_2) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2k+1}, -\frac{k+1}{(k-1)(2k+1)} \right\}, \\
 A(X_1, X_2) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}, \\
 r_1 &= 1, \quad w_1 = 1, \quad w_2 = \frac{(2k+1)^2(k-1)^4}{(2k-3)^2k^4}r_2^{-4},
 \end{aligned}$$

Intersection matrices and Character tables of the association scheme for X_1

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ k^3(2k-3) & (k+1)(k^2-k-1)k & (k-1)k^3 \\ 0 & (k^2-k-1)(k-1)^2 & k^3(k-2) \end{bmatrix}, \\
 B_2^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (k^2-k-1)(k-1)^2 \\ (k-1)(2k-3)(k^2-k-1) & (k-2)(k-1)(k^2-k-1) \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k^3(2k-3) & (k-1)(2k-3)(k^2-k-1) \\ 1 & k^2(k-2) & -1-k^2(k-2) \\ 1 & -k & -1+k \end{bmatrix}, \\
 Q_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (2k+1)(2k-3) & 2(2k-3)(k^2-k-1)k \\ 1 & \frac{(k-2)(2k+1)}{k} & -\frac{2(k^2-k-1)}{k} \\ 1 & -2k-1 & 2k \end{bmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

Intersection matrices and Character tables of the association scheme for X_2

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_1^{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ (2k+1)(k^2-k-1)k & (k+1)(k^2-3)k & (k+1)(k^2-k-1)k \\ 0 & (k+1)(k-1)^3 & (k^2-k-1)k^2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 B_2^{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & (k+1)(k-1)^3 & (k^2-k-1)k^2 \\ (2k+1)(k-1)^3 & (k-1)^3k & (k-2)(k-1)(k^2-k-1) \end{bmatrix}, \\
 P_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (2k+1)(k^2-k-1)k & (k-1)(2k^3-3k^2+1) \\ 1 & k(k^2-k-1) & -(k-1)(k^2-1) \\ 1 & -k & k-1 \end{bmatrix}, \\
 Q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (2k+1)(2k-3) & 2(k-1)(2k+1)(k^2-k-1) \\ 1 & 2k-3 & -2k+2 \\ 1 & -\frac{(2k-3)(k+1)}{k-1} & \frac{2(k^2-k-1)}{k-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\
 p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} &= k^3(2k-3), \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = (2k+1)(k-1)^3
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} &= (k^2 - k - 1)k^2, & p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} &= (k - 1)^2 k^2, & p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_1} &= (k^2 - k - 1)k^2 \\
p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_2} &= k^3(k - 2), & p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_2} &= (k - 1)k^3, & p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_2} &= k^3(k - 2) \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} &= (k - 1)^3 k, & p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} &= (k + 1)(k - 1)^3, & p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_1} &= (k + 1)(k - 1)^3 \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} &= (k - 1)^2 k^2, & p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} &= (k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^2, & p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_2} &= (k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^2, \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= (k - 1)^2 k^2, & p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} &= (k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^2, & p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} &= (k + 1)(k^2 - k - 1)k, \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} &= (k^2 - k - 1)k^2, & p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} &= (k^2 - k - 1)k^2, & p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} &= (k - 1)^2 k^2, \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= (k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^2, & p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} &= (k - 2)(k - 1)(k^2 - k - 1), \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= (k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^2, & p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} &= (k - 2)(k - 1)(k^2 - k - 1), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= (k - 1)^2 k^2, & p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= (k^2 - k - 1)k^2, & p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} &= (k^2 - k - 1)k^2, \\
p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} &= (k^2 - k - 1)(k - 1)^2, & p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= (k + 1)(k^2 - k - 1)k, & p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} &= (k - 1)^2 k^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In above $p_{a,b}^c = p_{b,a}^c$ holds for any $a, b, c \in \{\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_k \mid i, j = 0, 1, 2, k = 1, 2\}$.

Spherical tight 4-design on $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

If spherical tight 4-design $Y \subset S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ exists, then we must have $n + 4 = (2k - 1)^2$ with an integer $k \geq 2$. Then $A(Y) = \{\frac{-1-\sqrt{n+4}}{n+3}, \frac{-1+\sqrt{n+4}}{n+3}\} = \{-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}, \frac{1}{2k}\}$. Then Y has the structure of an association scheme whose second eigen-matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{bmatrix} Q_{n+1,0}(1) & Q_{n+1,1}(1) & Q_{n+1,2}(1) \\ Q_{n+1,0}(-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}) & Q_{n+1,1}(-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}) & Q_{n+1,2}(-\frac{1}{2(k-1)}) \\ Q_{n+1,0}(\frac{1}{2k}) & Q_{n+1,1}(\frac{1}{2k}) & Q_{n+1,2}(\frac{1}{2k}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4k^2 - 4k - 2 & 2(2k + 1)(2k - 3)k(k - 1) \\ 1 & -\frac{2k^2 - 2k - 1}{k-1} & \frac{(2k-3)k}{k-1} \\ 1 & \frac{2k^2 - 2k - 1}{k} & -\frac{(2k+1)(k-1)}{k} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

This indicate that E_1 induces the projection of the association scheme into the unit sphere $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The character table of Y is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2(2k + 1)(k - 1)^3 & 2(2k - 3)k^3 \\ 1 & -(2k + 1)(k - 1)^2 & (2k - 3)k^2 \\ 1 & k - 1 & -k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbf{u}_0$ be a fixed point in Y . We may assume $\mathbf{u}_0 = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Let $Y_2 = \{\mathbf{y} \in Y \mid \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{2k}\}$ and $Y_1 = \{\mathbf{y} \in Y \mid \mathbf{u}_0 \cdot \mathbf{y} = -\frac{1}{2(k-1)}\}$. $|Y_2| = 2(2k - 3)k^3$ and $|Y_1| = 2(2k + 1)(k - 1)^3$.

8 Appendix II

The feasible parameters of the Euclidean tight 4-design given in Theorem 1.8 and intersection numbers of the corresponding coherent configuration.

$$\begin{aligned}
n &= (6k-3)^2 - 3, \\
|X_1| &= (6k^2 - 6k + 1)(36k^2 - 36k + 7), \quad |X_2| = 3(36k^2 - 36k + 7)(2k-1)^2, \\
A(X_1, X_1) &= \left\{ \frac{18k^2 - 27k + 8}{6(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k-1)}, \quad -\frac{18k^2 - 9k - 1}{6(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k-1)} \right\}, \\
A(X_2, X_2) &= \left\{ \frac{36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 4}{2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)}, \quad -\frac{36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 3}{2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5)} \right\}, \\
A(X_1, X_2) &= \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{36k^2 - 36k + 4}{(36k^2 - 36k + 6)(36k^2 - 36k + 10)}}, \quad -\sqrt{\frac{36k^2 - 36k + 10}{(36k^2 - 36k + 6)(36k^2 - 36k + 4)}} \right\}, \\
r_1 &= 1, \quad r_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3(18k^2 - 18k + 5)(6k^2 - 6k + 1)}{9k^2 - 9k + 1}}, \\
w_1 &= 1, \quad w_2 = \frac{1}{81(2k-1)^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Intersection matrices and the Character tables of the association scheme for X_1

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 6(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1)k & 54k^4-45k^3-12k^2+7k+1 \\ 0 & (3k-2)(k-1)(18k^2-9k-1) \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ (18k^2-9k-1)k(3k-2) \\ k(3k-1)(18k^2-27k+8) \end{bmatrix} \\
B_1^{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (3k-2)(k-1)(18k^2-9k-1) \\ 6(k-1)(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1) & (18k^2-27k+8)(k-1)(3k-1) \end{bmatrix} \\
&\quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ k(3k-1)(18k^2-27k+8) \\ 54k^4-171k^3+177k^2-64k+5 \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1)k & 6(k-1)(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1) \\ 1 & -3k+1 & 3k-2 \\ 1 & k(18k^2-27k+8) & -(k-1)(18k^2-9k-1) \end{bmatrix}, \\
Q_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6(36k^2-36k+7)(k-1)k & 36k^2-36k+6 \\ 1 & -\frac{(3k-1)(k-1)(36k^2-36k+7)}{(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1)} & \frac{(18k^2-27k+8)(6k^2-6k+1)}{(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1)} \\ 1 & \frac{k(3k-2)(36k^2-36k+7)}{(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1)} & -\frac{(18k^2-9k-1)(6k^2-6k+1)}{(-1+2k)(9k^2-9k+1)} \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

Intersection matrices and the Character tables of the association scheme for X_2

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5) & (9k^2 - 9k + 1)(12k^2 - 10k + 3) \\ 0 & (3k - 2)(36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 3) \end{bmatrix}, \\
B_2^{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & (3k - 2)(36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 3) \\ 2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5) & (36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 4)(3k - 1) \end{bmatrix}, \\
P_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5) & 2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5) \\ 1 & -3k + 1 & 3k - 2 \\ 1 & 36k^3 - 54k^2 + 25k - 4 & 3 - 36k^3 + 54k^2 - 25k \end{bmatrix}, \\
Q_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2(6k^2 - 6k + 1)(36k^2 - 36k + 7) & 36k^2 - 36k + 6 \\ 1 & -\frac{(3k-1)(36k^2-36k+7)}{18k^2-18k+5} & \frac{3(36k^3-54k^2+25k-4)}{18k^2-18k+5} \\ 1 & \frac{(3k-2)(36k^2-36k+7)}{18k^2-18k+5} & -\frac{3(36k^3-54k^2+25k-3)}{18k^2-18k+5} \end{bmatrix}, \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} &= 3(18k^2 - 18k + 5)(2k - 1)^2, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = (6k^2 - 6k + 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \\
p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} &= (2k - 1)(54k^3 - 72k^2 + 15k + 4), \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} = (3k - 2)(2k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_1} &= (2k - 1)(3k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \\
p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_2} &= (54k^3 - 90k^2 + 33k - 1)(2k - 1), \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_2} = (2k - 1)(3k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_2} &= (3k - 2)(2k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} &= (2k - 1)(3k - 2)(9k^2 - 9k + 1), \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} = (9k^2 - 9k + 1)k(6k - 5), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_1} &= (3k - 1)(18k^3 - 27k^2 + 14k - 3), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} &= (3k - 1)(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k - 1), \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} = (9k^2 - 9k + 1)(6k - 1)(k - 1), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_2} &= (3k - 2)(18k^3 - 27k^2 + 14k - 2), \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= 2(3k - 1)(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(2k - 1), \quad p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} = 2(3k - 1)(k - 1)(9k^2 - 9k + 1), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} &= 2(3k - 1)(18k^3 - 27k^2 + 14k - 3), \quad p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} = 2(2k - 1)(3k - 2)(9k^2 - 9k + 1), \\
p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} &= 2k(3k - 1)(9k^2 - 9k + 1), \quad p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} = 2k(3k - 2)(9k^2 - 9k + 1), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= 2(3k - 2)(18k^3 - 27k^2 + 14k - 2), \quad p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} = 2(k - 1)(9k^2 - 9k + 1)(3k - 2), \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= (6k - 1)(k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \quad p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} = (k - 1)(54k^3 - 90k^2 + 33k - 1), \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= (2k - 1)(3k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \quad p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} = (3k - 2)(2k - 1)(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \\
p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} &= k(54k^3 - 72k^2 + 15k + 4), \quad p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} = (18k^2 - 18k + 5)(3k - 1)(k - 1), \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= (6k - 5)k(18k^2 - 18k + 5), \quad p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} = (3k - 2)k(18k^2 - 18k + 5).
\end{aligned}$$

In above $p_{a,b}^c = p_{b,a}^c$ holds for any $a, b, c \in \{\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_k \mid i, j = 0, 1, 2, k = 1, 2\}$.

9 Appendix III

Intersection numbers of the coherent configuration attached to the Euclidean 4-design supported by 2 concentric spheres satisfying $N_2 \geq N_1 \geq n + 2$.

$$A(X_1, X_1) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, A(X_2, X_2) = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}, A(X_1, X_2) = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\},$$

$$\gamma_1 \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{n}, \alpha_2 = -\frac{n\alpha_1 - n + N_1}{n((N_1 - 1)\alpha_1 + 1)}, \beta_2 = -\frac{n\beta_1 - n + N_1}{n((N_1 - 1)\beta_1 + 1)}.$$

$$p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_0} = p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} = p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_0} = 0, \quad p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_0} = N_1 - p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} - 1, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_0} = N_2 - p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0},$$

$$p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_0} = \frac{(N_1 - 1)\alpha_2 + 1}{(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)}, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_0} = \frac{N_2}{1 + n\gamma_1^2}.$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_0} = p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_0} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_0} = 0, \quad p_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^{\beta_0} = N_2 - p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_0} - 1, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_0} = N_1 - p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0},$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_0} = \frac{N_1}{n\gamma_1^2 + 1}, \quad p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_0} = \frac{(N_2 - 1)\beta_2 + 1}{\beta_2 - \beta_1}.$$

$$p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1} = p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{n(1 - \alpha_1)(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)^2(n\alpha_1 + N_1 - n)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{\left(n(N_1 - 1)(N_1 - 2n - 1)\alpha_1^3 - 3n^2\alpha_1^2 - 3n\alpha_1 + (N_1 - n - 2)(N_1 - n)\right)N_1}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{n\alpha_1 N_1 (N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)^2(n\alpha_1 + 1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{N_2(n\gamma_1^2\alpha_1 + 1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{(\gamma_1^2 n + \alpha_1)N_2\gamma_1^2 n}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_1} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_1} = \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)n\gamma_1^2 N_2}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}.$$

$$p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} = p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{N_1^2\alpha_1(n\alpha_1 + 1)(N_1 - n - 1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{N_1(1 - \alpha_1)(N_1 - n - 1)(n\alpha_1 - n + N_1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1 + 1}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2\right)^2} \left(n^2(N_1^2 - 3N_1 + 2)\alpha_1^3\right.$$

$$\left. + 3n^2(N_1 - 2)\alpha_1^2 + 3n(2n - N_1)\alpha_1 - 2n^2 + 3nN_1 - N_1^2\right),$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_2} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{(n\alpha_1 + 1)\gamma_1^2 N_2 N_1}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2 (N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)},$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{-N_2(-N_1\alpha_1 + N_1\gamma_1^2 + n\gamma_1^2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 - \gamma_1^2 n - 1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2 (N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)},$$

$$p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\alpha_2} = \frac{N_2 \gamma_1^2 (-N_1 - n \alpha_1 + n + n^2 \alpha_1 N_1 \gamma_1^2 - n^2 \alpha_1 \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_1^2 n^2)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2 (N_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)}.$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_1} = p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_1} = \frac{n(1 - \beta_1)(1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1)^2 (-n + N_2 + n \beta_1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right)^2}$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_1} = \frac{N_2}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right)^2} \left(n(N_2 - 1)(N_2 - 2n - 1) \beta_1^3 \right. \\ \left. - 3n^2 \beta_1^2 - 3n \beta_1 + (N_2 - n - 2)(N_2 - n) \right)$$

$$p_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^{\beta_1} = \frac{N_2 n \beta_1 (1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1)^2 (n \beta_1 + 1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_1} = \frac{(1 - \beta_1) N_1 \gamma_1^2 n}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2},$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_1} = \frac{N_1 (\gamma_1^2 n \beta_1 + 1)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}, \quad p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_1} = \frac{N_1 \gamma_1^2 n (\beta_1 + \gamma_1^2 n)}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2}.$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_2}^{\beta_2} = p_{\beta_2, \beta_1}^{\beta_2} = \frac{N_2^2 \beta_1 (n \beta_1 + 1) (N_2 - n - 1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\beta_1, \beta_1}^{\beta_2} = \frac{(-1 + \beta_1)(n - N_2 + 1)(-n + N_2 + n \beta_1) N_2}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right)^2},$$

$$p_{\beta_2, \beta_2}^{\beta_2} = \frac{(1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right)^2} \left(n^2 (N_2^2 - 3N_2 + 2) \beta_1^3 + 3n^2 \beta_1^2 (N_2 - 2) \right. \\ \left. - 3n(N_2 - 2n) \beta_1 - 2n^2 + 3N_2 n - N_2^2 \right),$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} = p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_1}^{\beta_2} = \frac{(n \beta_1 + 1) \gamma_1^2 N_1 N_2}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2 (1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1)},$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \gamma_1}^{\beta_2} = \frac{((n - N_2 - n \beta_1) \gamma_1^2 + N_2 \beta_1 + 1 - \beta_1) N_1}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2 (1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1)},$$

$$p_{\gamma_2, \gamma_2}^{\beta_2} = \frac{((n^2 \beta_1 N_2 - n^2 \beta_1 + n^2) \gamma_1^2 - n \beta_1 + n - N_2) N_1 \gamma_1^2}{(\gamma_1^2 n + 1)^2 (1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1)}.$$

$$p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} = \frac{N_2 \gamma_1^2 n (1 + N_2 \beta_1 - \beta_1) (n \beta_1 + 1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)},$$

$$p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} = \frac{N_1 \gamma_1^2 n (N_1 \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1) (n \alpha_1 + 1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n \alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1) \alpha_1^2\right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)},$$

$$p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} = \frac{(\gamma_1^2 n \beta_1 + 1) (N_2 - n - 1) N_2}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n \beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1) \beta_1^2\right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{N_2 \gamma_1^2 n (1 - \beta_1) (N_2 - n - 1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n\beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{N_1 (n\gamma_1^2 \alpha_1 + 1) (N_1 - n - 1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{N_1 \gamma_1^2 n (1 - \alpha_1) (N_1 - n - 1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{n(1 + N_2\beta_1 - \beta_1)((n - n\beta_1 - N_2)\gamma_1^2 + N_2\beta_1 + 1 - \beta_1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n\beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_1} &= \frac{n(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1 + (n - N_1 - n\alpha_1)\gamma_1^2)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}. \\
\\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(1 + N_2\beta_1 - \beta_1)((n^2\beta_1 N_2 - n^2\beta_1 + n^2)\gamma_1^2 - n\beta_1 + n - N_2)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n\beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)(-N_1 - n\alpha_1 + n + (n^2\alpha_1 N_1 - n^2\alpha_1 + n^2)\gamma_1^2)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(1 + N_2\beta_1 - \beta_1)(n\beta_1 + 1)N_2}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n\beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\gamma_1, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{N_2(-1 + \beta_1)(n - N_2 + 1)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n\beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_2}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(\gamma_1^2 n + \alpha_1)(N_1 - n - 1)N_1}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_2, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{N_1(N_1\alpha_1 - \alpha_1 + 1)(n\alpha_1 + 1)}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\gamma_2, \beta_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{N_2(N_2 - n - 1)(\beta_1 + \gamma_1^2 n)}{\left(N_2 - n + 2n\beta_1 + n(N_2 - 1)\beta_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}, \\
p_{\alpha_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_2} &= \frac{(1 - \alpha_1)(N_1 - n - 1)N_1}{\left(N_1 - n + 2n\alpha_1 + n(N_1 - 1)\alpha_1^2 \right) (\gamma_1^2 n + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgment: The authors thank Masanobu Kaneko of Kyushu University for providing a proof of some results on diophantine equations, i.e. Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 6.2.

References

- [1] B. BAJNOK, *On Euclidean designs*, Adv. Geom. 6 (2006), no. 3, 423–438.
- [2] B. BAJNOK, *Orbits of the hyperoctahedral group as Euclidean designs*, J. Algebraic Combin. 25 (2007), no. 4, 375–397.
- [3] E. BANNAI AND E. BANNAI, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Spheres* (in Japanese) Springer Tokyo 1999.
- [4] E. BANNAI AND E. BANNAI, *A note on the spherical embeddings of strongly regular graphs*, European J. Combin. 26 (2005) 1177–1179.
- [5] E. BANNAI AND E. BANNAI, *On Euclidean tight 4-designs*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 58 (2006), 775–804.
- [6] E. BANNAI AND E. BANNAI, *Spherical designs and Euclidean designs*, accepted for publication, in: Recent Developments in Algebra and Related Areas, ALM 8, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston, 2009, 1–37.
- [7] E. BANNAI AND E. BANNAI, *A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres*, accepted for publication, Europ. J. Combinatorics.
- [8] E. BANNAI AND E. BANNAI, *On antipodal spherical t -designs of degree s with $t \geq 2s - 3$* , accepted for publication, a special volume of Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences, honoring the 75th birthday of Prof. D.K.Ray-Chaudhuri.
- [9] E. BANNAI, E. BANNAI AND D. SUPRIJANTO, *On the strong non-rigidity of certain tight Euclidean designs*, European J. Combin. 28 (2007), 1662–1680.
- [10] E. BANNAI, E. BANNAI, M. HIRAO AND M. SAWA, *Cubature formulas in numerical analysis and Euclidean tight designs*, accepted for publication, in a special issue in honor of Michel Deza (=Europ. J. Combinatorics).
- [11] E. BANNAI, R. M. DAMERELL, *Tight spherical designs. I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1) (1979) 199–207.
- [12] E. BANNAI, R. M. DAMERELL, *Tight spherical designs. II*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 21 (1) (1980) 13–30.
- [13] E. BANNAI AND T. ITO, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA (1984).
- [14] E. BANNAI, A. MUNEMASA AND B. VENKOV, *The nonexistence of certain tight spherical designs*, Algebra Anal. 16 (2004) 1–23.
- [15] E. BANNAI, *On Antipodal Euclidean Tight $(2e+1)$ -Designs*, J. Algebraic Combinatorics 24 (2006), 391–414.

- [16] E. BANNAI, *New examples of Euclidean tight 4-designs*, European J. of Combinatorics 30 (2009), 655–667.
- [17] G. E. P. BOX AND J. S. HUNTER, *Multi-factor experimental designs for exploring response surfaces*, Ann. Math. Statist. 28, (1957)195–241
- [18] P. DELSARTE, *An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory*, Philips Res. Rep. Suppl. 10 (1973).
- [19] P. DELSARTE, J. M. GOETHALS, AND J. J. SEIDEL, *Spherical codes and designs*, Geom. Dedicata 6 (1977), 363–388.
- [20] P. DELSARTE AND J. J. SEIDEL, *Fisher type inequalities for Euclidean t -designs*, Linear Algebra Appl. 114–115 (1989), 213–230.
- [21] A. ERDELYI ET.AL., *Higher transcendental functions, Vol II, (Bateman Manuscript Project)*, MacGraw-Hill (1953).
- [22] D. G. HIGMAN, *Coherent configurations. I*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 44 (1970) 1–25.
- [23] D. G. HIGMAN, *Coherent configurations. I*, Ordinary representation theory, Geom. Dedicata 4 (1975) 1–32.
- [24] M. KANEKO, personal communication.
- [25] D. G. Larman, C. A. Rogers and J. J. Seidel, *On two-distance sets in Euclidean space*, Bull London Math. Soc. 9 (1977) 261–267.
- [26] H.M. MÖLLER, *Kubaturformeln mit minimaler Knotenzahl*, Numer. Math. 25 (2) (1975/76) 185–200.
- [27] H. M. MÖLLER, *Lower bounds for the number of nodes in cubature formulae*, Numerische Integration (Tagung, Math. Forschungsinst., Oberwolfach, 1978), 221–230, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., 45, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1979.
- [28] A. NEUMAIER AND J. J. SEIDEL, *Discrete measures for spherical designs, eutactic stars and lattices*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 91=Indag. Math. 50 (1988), 321–334.
- [29] S.L. SOBOLEV, *Introduction to Theory of Cubature Formulae*, Izdat, Nauka, Moscow, 1974 (in Russian).
- [30] S.L. SOBOLEV, V.L. VASKEVICH, *The Theory of Cubature Formulas*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- [31] P. VERLINDEN, R. COOLS, *On cubature formulae of degree $4k+1$ attaining Möller’s lower bound for integrals with circular symmetry*, Numer. Math. 61 (3) (1992) 395–407.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.

Primary: 05E99, Secondary: 05B99, 51M99, 62K99.

Key words and Phrases.

Euclidean design, spherical design, association scheme, coherent configuration, cubature formula